

# Proposed Waikato District Plan Enabling Housing Supply Variation 3 to the Proposed Waikato District Plan

### Submission form

EC	M Project: PDP2022V003-03
EC	M#
Su	bmission # . <b>0.4</b> .1
Cu	stomer #
Pro	operty #

RMA Form 5

### Closing date for submissions: 5pm on Friday 28 October 2022

Submitter details: (please note that the (\*) are required fields and must be completed)

Name of submitter*:	musity Committee				
Contact person for communications*:	Odah				
Agent (if applicable):					
Postal address*: 6 mc noish Place	iQ .				
Suburb:	Town/City*: Roke NO				
Country:	Postal code*: 2402				
Daytime phone:	Mobile:				
Email address for submitter:* Email address for agent (if applicable):					
Please tick your preferred method of contact*	Correspondence to*				
Email Postal	Submitter Agent Both				
Trade competition and adverse effects:*  I could					
Would you like to present your submission in person at a like some	Control of the Control of the State Section of the Control of the				
man 2 Bet 2 25 pt A material CBC	<u>u u u u u</u> n roma romania ma la 1985				

Please complete a line for every submission point, adding as many additional lines as you need:

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to e.g provision number, map or natural hazard area	Do you:  Support? Oppose? Amend?	What decision are you seeking from Council?  What action would you like:  Retain?  Amend?  Add?  Delete?	Reasons  7 Avel ASIA  100 Saluat Milanata National
e.g. SUB-R I Subdivision - general	e.g Support	e.g Retain rule SUB-R I	e.g Subdivision should be allowed for
See cH	eche d	Papau Lawati Papau Lawati Mishid	White and the second
		ing a the property of the second section of the section	t remedia see are dea. ma mengan re maga sat fan debu tres man sat green yn den sat mentoe
1007	110001		to provide the interpretation of the provide the provi
	miji tanin	reference on the string appoints of character of their original and program of character than some	manage of the fill prompetion of moved "Flows" buildings it you ne yet remails absent as a realist mountains not constitutely assessed
		akungawa akum ta amiRa wat no a susa a ala masuwep woo aban na mpanowles aw a a sch ko L whise dall ha L wat da mala an	

Please return this form no later than 5pm on Friday 28 October 2022 to:	
Waikato District Council, 15 Galileo Street, Private Bag 544, Ngaruawahia 3742, or e-mail: districtplan@waidc.govt.nz	
Signed: Date: 27/10/2000 (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)	0.0 1

PRIVACY ACT NOTE: Please note that all information provided in your submission will be used to progress the process for this variation, and may be made publicly available.



Waikato District Council
Via Email

27th October 2022

## POKENO COMMUNITY COMMITTEE SUBMISSION ON: ENABLING HOUSING SUPPLY VARIATION 3 TO THE PROPOSED WAIKATO DISTRICT PLAN

Reference: A. Proposed Waikato District Council Plan

### Introduction

- The following is a submission by the Pokeno Community Committee (PCC) to the Waikato District
  Council (WDC) in response to proposed changes to the Waikato District Plan (Reference A). This
  would potentially enable the owners of properties within 800 metres of Pokeno Town Centre to
  construct up to three dwellings up to three stories high (3x3) without the need for resource consent.
  (Variation 3).
- 2. The PCC understands that this variation results from a central government initiative, imposed on Local Authorities and affecting towns, villages and suburbs with a population greater than 5,000. The intent appears to be one solution to address the current housing supply issue affecting many areas across New Zealand by facilitating more medium density (MD) housing by reducing some of the perceived or actual barriers (such as cost and the requirement for resource consent).
- 3. The PCC does not intend to address each part of Reference A in this submission. Rather, this submission outlines our overall response to the Variation. In developing this submission, the PCC takes into account the views of Pokeno residents as expressed at a public meeting on this matter held at Pokeno on 25<sup>th</sup> October 2022. Approximately 50 residents attended that meeting and there was unanimous agreement that the PCC should make this submission opposing the changes.

### Submission

- 4. The PCC opposes the proposed changes enabling housing supply (Variation 3 to Reference A) which would allow medium density housing (3x3) without the need for a resource consent and without the need for public notification and makes the following points in support:
  - a. Pokeno Character. When the development of Pokeno began, a key component of the development was that Pokeno would have the character of a village in a rural setting. That theme has been consistent since development began and it is something residents have bought into and are determined to retain. For many, it was a key reason for buying into Pokeno and the WDC will be aware that the PCC and residents have expressed (and continue to express) concerns that new housing developments in hitherto undeveloped

areas of Pokeno might not fit that theme. There is genuine concern that should the proposed variation proceed, the character of Pokeno will be forever and irreversibly changed. In other words, residents will have lost the ability to determine for themselves, how they want "their" town to develop.

- b. Unfairness. Should the variation proceed, property owners may (and some almost certainly will) be faced with the prospect of having several multi-story dwellings constructed close to their boundary with all the adverse effects such as being cast in shadow, loss of outlook, claustrophobic conditions associated with that. It is likely to result in a decrease in the value of affected neighbouring properties the homes of those who bought the property in the belief that their outlook and surrounds, indeed their lifestyle, would be protected by the covenants to which most properties are subject. Those ultimately affected will have no warning of such development and will have no recourse. As if that were not enough in terms of unfairness, the proposed variation splits the community. Those living within the 800m radius are potentially affected while those outside the 800m radius are not yet. If the entire character of a town can be changed once regardless of the views of its residents, what is to prevent such changes happening again?
- c. Unjust and Undemocratic. Having such a significant change of rules imposed with little or no consultation with those affected is unjust and undemocratic. This is not simply NIMBYism. This change, should it proceed, potentially has far reaching implications not only on the wealth and health of those affected but also on the very character that Pokeno – the village and community - has striven to portray, maintain and protect.
- d. Status of Covenants. The subject of covenants was raised in 4b above. Most Pokeno properties built during the surge in growth of the town are subject to covenants which, by definition, impose certain restrictions on property owners. While they are restrictive, they also provide protection ensuring the character of Pokeno is retained. Property owners are aware of and accept these covenants and for the most part, abide by them. The proposed changes completely undermine those covenants both in intent and in effect.
  - e. **Resources.** There are concerns that the growth in MD housing these changes permit will have significant impact on the provision of resources. Water is perhaps the major concern but other resources such as electricity, roading, telephone and internet services may also come under some strain.
  - f. Green Spaces. Pokeno is already in deficit when it comes to the amount of green spaces considered adequate for the population. While not making up for this deficit, the fact that current houses all have some space within their current boundaries (especially for children to play) provides some alleviation. MD housing will have no such space within their boundaries making the green space deficit even larger.
  - g. **Blunt Instrument.** The need for additional (affordable) housing to address the current shortage is well known. However, fixing one problem by creating another is not the answer. This approach is, in our view, at best a blunt instrument when a much more nuanced approach is required. Do not accept, let alone create, significant and adverse impacts on current property owners, neighbours and community members today by introducing something that has dubious benefit for a small number of as yet unknown future residents.

h. No Public Notification. The PCC notes with real concern that many sections of Reference A – especially those in Part 3 – specifically state that no public notification will occur. This is unacceptable and suggests WDC intends to approve elements it knows are likely to be unpopular (or which it feels it may have no choice but to approve) by stealth. This is not the way we want our elected representatives or their staff to act.

#### Recommendations

- 5. Acknowledging that the fundamental drivers for the proposed variation come not from the WDC but from central government, the PCC makes the following recommendations:
  - a. Reject the current central government directive that imposes these changes on existing properties. All local authorities are impacted by this imposition so, assuming most if not all local authorities are not in favour, then use the collective voice to send a clear message to the government. Defy if it comes to that.
  - b. Recognise that Pokeno has developed to exhibit and showcase a special character. Include this character as a Qualifying Matter in Reference A (MRZ2-P6). Put measures in place (such as not allowing MD dwellings to cause significant loss of light and/or outlook) to protect those properties which may be adjacent to future MD housing and whose current owners are subject to covenants. At the least, these changes should only apply to newly created residential sections that have not yet been sold to a homeowner and where the use of MD housing will not adversely impact the special character of Pokeno.

Ric Odom

For Allen Grainger

Chair, Pokeno Community Committee