

## Appendix I: Table of submission points – H15 Designations

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
74.1	Graham Hunkin	Oppose	No specific decision requested, but submission questions the necessity of the designation held by Vector Gas Limited (Designation R1), particularly at the property at 185 Brown Road, Tuakau. The submission refers to Section 184 of the Resource Management Act which relates to the lapsing of the designation.	The construction zone designation was granted to Vector in approximately 2005. No substantial progress has been made by the requiring authority with the last letter received from the requiring authority on the 9th of June 2009 and no construction or preparation for construction has been initiated in this time.  RMA section 184 states that a designation will lapse if no "substantial progress or effort has been made towards giving effect to the designation and is continuing to be made".  See attachment to submission for copies of the correspondence between the submitter and Vector.	Reject	21
165.1	Janice Boot	Oppose	Amend designation U23 (1117 Tauwhare Rd) to exclude the possible future cell phone transmitter/tower.	The designation including cell phone tower will devalue the adjoining property. The submitter is concerned about the effect of radiation from the operation of a cell phone transmitter/tower.  The submitter does not object to the existing telephone exchange as it currently exists.	Reject	24
341.9	Tainui Group Holdings Limited	Neutral/Amend	Amend the planning maps and Section E to reduce the extent as far as practicable of Designation K4 - Transpower New Zealand Limited (Meremere Switching Station).  AND  Amend the Proposed District Plan to make consequential amendments as necessary to give effect to the matters raised in the submission.	The Meremere Switching Station is located immediately south of the decommissioned Meremere Power Station. Designation K4 is 0.6065 ha (6,065m2) in area.  Transpower has however requested this designation is 'rolled over' without modification from the Operative Plan.  A number of low lying transmission lines also extend beyond the designation and connect to the existing National Grid transmission lines some 300m to the east.  TGHL wish to maximise the ability to develop their land at Meremere, which includes the removal of any redundant assets. TGHL understand from previous correspondence with Transpower that some or all of the transmission assets within the Meremere Switching Station and across TGHL's land at Meremere are no longer active. Considering the status of the Transpower assets and the fact TGHL have an interest in the land subject to the Designation K4, the relevant matters that a territorial authority must have regard to when considering a notice of requirement under section 171(1) of the Resource Management Act 1991 have been considered. TGHL conclude the following:	Reject	14

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
				<p>No consideration of alternatives sites (in this instance, site extent) appears to have been undertaken by Transpower;</p> <p>The proposed extent of Designation K4 is not considered reasonably necessary to achieve Transpower's objectives for which the designation was originally sought</p> <p>TGHL acknowledge that Transpower will have a position on this designation relative to their aspirations and future plans for these assets. TGHL intend to have further discussions with Transpower around a suitable designation extent for the Meremere Switching Station that meets the requirements of both parties.</p>		
FS1350.139	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Oppose	Disallow in part.	<p>The Meremere switching station is presently designated under the operative district plan. In June 2018 Transpower gave notice to the council for the rollover of the existing designation in accordance with Clause 4(1) of Schedule 1 of the RMA. No modification was sought to the designation as it considered that no reduction was necessary due to the operational requirements of the site. In response to the concerns raised by the submitter and a subsequent meeting with the submitter, Transpower is investigating whether the footprint of the designation can be modified through the rollover process. Until such time as that investigation is complete and more information is available, Transpower seeks that the relief be disallowed.</p>	Accept	14
412.1	David Saxton	Neutral/Amend	<p>Require the Department of Corrections to maintain all mitigation plantings associated with Springhill Prison for as long as the prison exists.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend the Proposed District Plan to apply a "Significant Natural Area" map annotation to the native plantings at Springhill Prison.</p>	<p>The Department of Corrections was directed by the consenting authorities to mitigate the impact of the prison on the landscape by extensive planting that is maintained for as long as the prison exists.</p>	Reject	19
FS1210.1	Ara Poutama Aotearoa (Department of Corrections)	Oppose	<p>The Department seeks that the whole of submission 412.1 be disallowed.</p>	<p>The requirement to maintain and protect planting is already confirmed through the designation ("PI") conditions applicable to the Spring Hill Corrections Facility- including conditions 6.1 and 6.2. These conditions act in the same way as resource consent conditions and are thus able to be monitored and enforced by the Council where compliance is not achieved. Any additional level of protection is unnecessary.</p>	Accept	19
712.3	Bettley-Stamef Partnership	Oppose	<p>Delete all references to Designation (N1) from the 25 sites on Matangi Road and Yumelody Lane (25, 131 145, 158, 165A, 165B, 165C, 167A, 168, 171 (aka 167), 174 Matangi Road and 21A, 21B, 26, 29, 51, 54, 58, 59, 60, 61A, 61B, 61C, 62A and 62B Yumelody Lane).</p>	<p>The submission opposes the identification of an airport related Designation (N1) on the subject site. The designation is not relevant to the subject properties and their activities. Properties are utilised as private residential dwellings and lifestyle blocks and do not form part of the main runway for</p>	Reject	17

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
				Hamilton Airport. Properties have not been designated for any other purpose.		
FS1016.2	Zane Bettley	Support	<i>Our reason in support of this submission is that our property is utilised as a private residential dwelling and/or lifestyle block. (Soon to be childcare centre/facility). It does not form part of the main runway for Hamilton Airport, nor has our property been designated for any other purpose.</i>	<i>The notation of a designation on the planning maps for our property would appear to be an error on Councils part.</i>	Reject	17
FS1018.1	Keir Bettley	Support	<i>Our reason in support of this submission is that my property is utilised as a private residential dwellings and/or lifestyle block. It does not form part of the main runway for Hamilton Airport, nor has our property been designated for any other purpose.</i>	<i>The notation of a designation on the planning maps for my property would appear to be an error on Councils part.</i>	Reject	17
FS1021.4	Ying-Peng Yu	Support	<i>My reason in support of this submission is that my properties 62B Yumelody Lane is utilised as a private residential dwelling and/or lifestyle block. It does not form part of the main runway for Hamilton Airport, nor has our property been designated for any other purpose.</i>	<i>The notation of a designation on the planning maps for my property would appear to be an error on Councils part</i>	Reject	17
FS1021.1	Ying-Peng Yu	Support	<i>My reason in support of this submission is that my properties at 26, 29 and 51 Yumelody Lane are utilised as a private residential dwellings and/or lifestyle block. It does not form part of the main runway for Hamilton Airport, nor has our property been designated for any other purpose.</i>	<i>The notation of a designation on the planning maps for my property would appear to be an error on Councils part</i>	Reject	17
FS1016.1	Zane Bettley	Support	<i>Our reason in support of this submission is that our property is utilised as a private residential dwellings and/or lifestyle block. It does not form part of the main runway for Hamilton Airport, nor has our property been designated for any other purpose.</i>	<i>The notation of a designation on the planning maps for our property would appear to be an error on Councils part.</i>	Reject	17
FS1253.41	Waikato Regional Airport Ltd	Oppose	Seek that the whole part of this submission be disallowed.	<i>Designation N1 establishes an AOLS that is to be complied with when determining the permitted height of buildings or structure within the affected areas. The extent of Designation N1 were based on international specifications and defines the surfaces above which there must be no obstacle to ensure the safe operation of the Hamilton Airport. Designation N1 as it relates to these properties only restricts obstacles from protruding above the OLS which is likely to be very high in this location and unlikely to impact on the activities occurring on those sites. Designation N1 and the AOLS protects the airport from any future activities that may occur on those sites.</i>	Accept	17
FS1010.1	Peter McKenzie	Support	<i>My property is utilised as a private residential dwellings and/or lifestyle block. It does not form part of the main</i>	<i>The notation of a designation on the planning maps for my</i>	Reject	17

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<i>runway for Hamilton Airport, nor has our property been designated for any other purpose.</i>	<i>property would appear to be an error on Councils part.</i>		
FS1008.1	Zane and Sheryl Bettley	Support	<i>Our reason in support of this submission point is that our property is utilised as a private residential dwellings and/or lifestyle block. It does not form part of the main runway for Hamilton Airport, nor has our property been designated for any other purpose.</i>	<i>The notation of a designation on the planning maps for our property would appear to be an error on Councils part.</i>	Reject	17
FS1005.2	Tamahere Eventide Home Trust - Atawhai Assisi Retirement Village	Support	<i>Allow submission point 712.3 (seeking to delete Designation NI from the land on Matangi Road).</i>	<i>Support the part of the submission seeking to delete Designation NI from the sites on Matangi Road. Delete Designation NI insofar as it relates to Matangi Road (and the existing retirement village site).</i>	Reject	17
761.2	Lyndendale Farms Limited	Support	Amend to clarify whether the requiring authority for Designation U13 is Chorus New Zealand or Transpower New Zealand. AND Amend the Proposed District Plan to make any consequential amendments that are required to give effect to the submission.	Online planning maps identify Designation U13 (Chorus New Zealand Limited) running along the southern boundary of the property at 180 Horshams Downs Road. Clarification is sought as to whether Chorus New Zealand are the correct requiring authority for the existing transmission lines designation, as LFL have previously been advised to consult with Transpower New Zealand in regards to the existing designation, rather than Chorus.	Reject	24
761.3	Lyndendale Farms Limited	Support	Retain the designation for the Waikato Expressway (J17) as shown on the planning maps running alongside the southern boundary of the property at 180 Horsham Downs Road, Horsham Downs.	Runs alongside property at 180 Horshams Down but does not encroach into the LFL property. LFL and NZTA have previously subdivided the site to set land aside for the Waikato Expressway. Designation should therefore not encroach onto the LFL property at 180 Horsham Downs Road, Horsham Downs.	Accept	13
769.2	Tamahere Eventide Trust on behalf of Tamahere Eventide Retirement Village	Oppose	Delete all references to Designation NI Airways Corporation of NZ Ltd insofar as it relates to the Atawhai Assisi site at 158 Matangi Road, Tamahere (Lot 1 DPS 21 156, CTSA20A/1259) from Section E Designations and the Planning Maps. AND Amend the Proposed District Plan to make consequential amendments to give effect to the submission.	There should be no designations on 158 Matangi Road. Submitter opposes identification of airport related Designation (NI) on the Atawhai Assisi site. The Airport related designation is not relevant to subject property utilised as existing Retirement Village activities.  The property at 158 Matangi Road utilised is a private retirement village and therefore doesn't form part of the airport runway for Hamilton Airport, nor has the property been designated for any other purpose.  The notation appears to be an error on Councils part.	Reject	16
FS1253.42	Waikato Regional Airport Ltd	Oppose	<i>Seek that the whole part of this submission be disallowed.</i>	<i>Designation NI establishes an AOLS that is to be complied with when determining the permitted height of buildings or structure within the affected areas. The extent of Designation NI were based on international specifications and defines the surfaces above which there must be no obstacle to ensure the safe operation of the Hamilton Airport.</i>	Accept	16

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
				<i>Designation NI as it relates to these properties only restricts obstacles from protruding above the OLS which is likely to be very high in this location and unlikely to impact on the activities occurring on those sites. Designation NI and the AOLS protects the airport from any future activities that may occur on those sites. The designation is for the Airport OLS and is not an error. The designation already exists on the property too.</i>		
367.53	Liam McGrath for Mercer Residents and Ratepayers Committee	Neutral/Amend	Add Mercer Cemetery to Section E Designations, M Waikato District Council.	All other cemeteries have been added that are around the district.	Reject	16
367.54	Liam McGrath for Mercer Residents and Ratepayers Committee	Neutral/Amend	Add Mercer Reserve/Domain to Section E Designations, M Waikato District Council.	Addition of other reserves/domains have been made that are around the district. With request for addition of Te Paina Paa to Mercer Recreational Reserve, the reserve needs to be captured as well.	Reject	16
367.55	Liam McGrath for Mercer Residents and Ratepayers Committee	Oppose	Add Waste Water treatment plant in Mercer Township to Section E Designations, M Waikato District Council.	The additions of other wastewater treatment plants have been added that are around the district.	Reject	16
405.86	Counties Power Limited	Neutral/Amend	Amend Designation I1- Electricity Substation at Lot 1 DP 53555 McKenzie Road, Mangatawhiri by realigning the designation boundary with the property boundary.	Incorrect alignment of designation boundary.	Accept	12
405.87	Counties Power Limited	Neutral/Amend	Amend Designation I5- Electricity Substation at the property at 217 Whangarata Road, Tuakau to cover the entire area owned by Counties Power.	Incorrect designation boundary.	Accept	12
423.10	Watercare Services Limited	Not Stated	Add an 'advice note' to section E Designations as follows: Any works undertaken in accordance with the purpose of a designation are not subject to the requirements of any district plan rules including any overlay rules. AND Any consequential amendments or further relief to address the matters raised in the submission.	Watercare is concerned that the proposed overlays could be interpreted in a way that means Watercare is (incorrectly) required to comply with any requirements in the overlays when undertaking activities pursuant to one of its designations.	Reject	3
576.70	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Support	Retain the Transpower designations K1 and K3-8 in Section E - Designations, as notified.	The submitter supports designations K1 and K3-K8 as listed in Section E of the proposed plan, as the list reflects existing designated National Grid assets.	Accept in part	14
576.71	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Neutral/Amend	Amend Designation K7 - Ohinewai Switching Station, to include references to ongoing conditions. AND	No reasons provided.	Reject	14

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed										
			Amend the Proposed District Plan to make consequential amendments to address the matters raised in the submission.													
FS1145.12	Ohinewai Area Committee	Support	It is OAC understanding that this relates to the Ohinewai Switching Station (K7), which is located at 480 Storey Road. This location is outside OAC derestriction. Furthermore OAC has not had any feedback from the community about this, and it would appear that construction of the switching station is essentially completed.		Reject	14										
FS1207.4	Ohinewai Area Committee	Support	Seek that the whole of the submission be allowed.	It is OAC understanding that this relates to the Ohinewai Switching Station (K7), which is located at 480 Storey Road. This location is outside OAC derestriction. Furthermore OAC has not had any feedback from the community about this, and it would appear that construction of the switching station is essentially completed.	Reject	14										
576.72	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Neutral/Amend	<p>Add a designation to Section E - Designations - K Transpower, for Hamilton to Meremere B Underground Transmission Line Cable (DES0008/18), (K2), as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Des #</th> <th>Purpose of designation</th> <th>Location</th> <th>Area (ha)</th> <th>Legal description</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>K2</td> <td>Hamilton to Meremere B Underground Transmission Line Cable</td> <td>Puketaha Road</td> <td>1.96ha</td> <td>Lot 1 DPS 75657, Lot 4 DPS 64084</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend the Proposed District Plan to make consequential amendments to address the matters raised in the submission.</p>	Des #	Purpose of designation	Location	Area (ha)	Legal description	K2	Hamilton to Meremere B Underground Transmission Line Cable	Puketaha Road	1.96ha	Lot 1 DPS 75657, Lot 4 DPS 64084	<p>The submitter has provided notice to Waikato District Council on 11 June 2018 that its existing designations under the Operative District Plan (Waikato Section) and Operative District Plan (Franklin Section) are required to be included in the Proposed Waikato District Plan without modification, and rolled over. Specific details were provided including the existing designation (accepted in October 2017) Hamilton to Meremere B Underground Transmission Line Cable (DES0008/18).</p> <p>While the designation is identified on the planning maps (Map Eureka 27) as Designation K2, it is not shown within the Designation Schedule E within the Proposed District Plan. The submitter seeks the designation be included within Schedule E. As outlined in the 11 June 2018 notice, no ongoing conditions are applicable because construction has occurred.</p>	Accept	14
Des #	Purpose of designation	Location	Area (ha)	Legal description												
K2	Hamilton to Meremere B Underground Transmission Line Cable	Puketaha Road	1.96ha	Lot 1 DPS 75657, Lot 4 DPS 64084												
588.58	Woolworths NZ Ltd	Neutral/Amend	<p>Delete the New Zealand Transport Agency designation J14 that applies to the frontage of 16-18 Tumate Mahuta Drive, Huntly and allow unrestricted access to and from the affected frontage for the supermarket site.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend the Proposed District Plan to make consequential or alternative relief to give effect to the specific amendments sought.</p>	Operates a supermarket at 16/18 Tumate Mahuta Drive, Huntly and the site frontage is designated (NZTA, J14), which precludes vehicle access. It is understood that this section of the road will have its State Highway status rescinded and the designation will be surrendered.	Reject	13										
FS1202.96	New Zealand Transport Agency	Oppose	Oppose submission point 588.58.	Deleting Designation J2 (correct designation number) in order to allow unrestricted access to and from the supermarket site from	Accept	13										

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
				<i>State Highway 1 may impede any works that the NZ Transport Agency have to undertake as a part of the designation uplift process.</i>		
<b>648.42</b>	<b>Chorus New Zealand Limited</b>	Oppose	Amend the legal description in the designation schedule for designation U17 at Mt Pukemore to Pt Lot 1 and Pt Lot 2 DP 19278 to the full extent of the Chorus property as shown on the plans included in the Notice of Requirement and attached to the submission AND Amend the designation maps for Designation U17 at Mt Pukemore. AND Any consequential amendments necessary as a result of the amendments to grant the relief sought.	Incorrect spatial extent of designation shown on planning map.  The full legal description of the land was not included in the Notice of Requirement, but the full and correct extent of the land to be designated was shown spatially on the maps provided with the Notice of Requirement.	Accept	24
<b>648.43</b>	<b>Chorus New Zealand Limited</b>	Oppose	Amend the planning maps by adding the designation boundaries for U10 at 217 Hunter Road, Eureka in accordance with the extent of the designation included in the Notice of Requirement and additional information (see submission for map). AND Any consequential amendments necessary as a result of the amendments to grant the relief sought.	Incorrect spatial extent of designation shown on planning map. Online maps do not show the proposed designation in the designation layer, although correctly shown in the designation schedule. This should match the property details and map supplied in the Notice of Requirement.	Accept	24
<b>777.14</b>	<b>Radio New Zealand Limited</b>	Support	Retain the S1 designation for Radio New Zealand Limited and the wording for this designation as notified.	No reasons provided.	Accept	22
<b>783.13</b>	<b>Reid Investment Trust</b>	Neutral/Amend	Amend the extent of Designation J1 Ia as contained within Figure 2 in Annexure 2 of the submission. AND Any consequential amendments or further relief to give effect to the matters raised in the submission.	The construction of the Waikato Expressway has been completed in the Hampton Downs area. A petrol station has recently been constructed on the land north-east of the subject site (20 Hampton Downs Road) that indicates that the extent of Designation J1 Ia is no longer required for State Highway purposes.	Reject	13
<i>FS1387.1237</i>	<i>Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury D</i>	Oppose	Null	<i>At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure.</i>  <i>Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy</i>	Reject	13

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
				<i>framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.</i>		
831.23	Gabrielle Parson on behalf of Raglan Naturally	Oppose	Amend the purpose of designation M51 (Local Purpose (Aerodrome) Reserve at Raglan Harbour) to provide for alternative uses, such as sports fields.	Many supporting comments favor use of Raglan airfield for alternative uses, such as sports fields. Despite requests from Raglan Community Board, no financial information about the airfield has ever been revealed to show whether it is making a loss or profit, or bring a net financial gain to the area. The nearby soccer fields suffer from ponding and are becoming oversubscribed.  This aspect of the snapshot has only had two unfavourable comments, but many more in support,	Reject	16
945.50	First Gas Limited	Support	Retain Designation R1 being gas transmission pipelines within the District Plan Maps.	The submitter supports the inclusion of designation R1 on the District Plan Maps.	Accept	21
330.128	Andrew and Christine Gore	Not Stated	No specific decision sought, however submission refers to Section E Designations.	No reasons provided.	Reject	25
559.241	Heritage New Zealand Lower Northern Office	Neutral/Amend	Retain the archaeological advice note in the Introduction to Section E, except for the amendments sought below.  AND Amend the archaeological advice note in the Introduction to Section E to read as follows: Advice Note A designation does not exempt a requiring authority from first obtaining an authority from Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga if an archaeological site is to be destroyed, damaged or modified.	The submitter supports in part the advice note contained within the Introduction to section E - Designations. It is important that parties undertaking works are aware of their obligations with regard to the protection of archaeology, both recorded and unrecorded. The wording in the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014, s42, relates to a person "modifying or destroying" an archaeological site. The word damaging is not included within this section of the Act. The submitter therefore seeks that the wording of the advice note is amended to reflect the language of the Act.	Accept	3
559.242	Heritage New Zealand Lower Northern Office	Neutral/Amend	Amend condition 5 of designation for the Minister of Corrections as follows: 5.0 Archaeological 5.1 If any urupa, traditional sites, taonga (significant artefacts), koiwi (human remains), or other archaeological sites are exposed during site works the following procedures shall apply: Immediately it becomes apparent that an urupa, traditional site, taonga, koiwi or other archaeological site has been exposed, all site works in the immediate vicinity shall cease; The site supervisor shall immediately secure the area in a way that ensures that any artefacts or remains	The submitter seeks amendments within the conditions of the Minister of Corrections designation to correct the naming of Heritage New Zealand as changed under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 and as the regulatory authority related to archaeology remove from the condition the decision making role that has been incorrectly assigned to the Waikato District Council.	Accept	19



Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>are not further disturbed; and The site supervisor shall notify the Waikato Raupatu Lands Trust and a nominated Ngati Naho hapu representative, the New Zealand Historic Places Trust, Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga the Department of Conservation, the Waikato District Council, the Waikato Regional Council and in the case of human remains, the New Zealand Police, that an archaeological or traditional site has been exposed so that appropriate action can be taken.</p> <p>This includes such persons being given reasonable time as determined by the Waikato District Council to record and recover archaeological features discovered before work many recommence on the site. The Minister of Corrections shall not be in breach of this condition if Ngati Naho hapu does not nominate or cannot agree to a representative.</p>			
FS1210.2	Ara Poutama Aotearoa (Department of Corrections)	Support	The Department seeks that the whole of submission 559.242 be allowed.	The relief sought is appropriate and aligns with the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014.	Accept	19
697.333	Waikato District Council	Neutral/Amend	Amend the District Plan references to ensure the designations listed in Section E (Designation M) are correctly referenced and that correct descriptions and legal property details are provided.	Ensure Waikato District Council designations are correctly referenced in the District Plan.	Accept	16
742.183	New Zealand Transport Agency	Neutral/Amend	<p>Retain Section E Designations J New Zealand Transport Agency, in respect to the designations and purpose of designation.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend the order and numbering of the listed Section E designations for the New Zealand Transport Agency so that they generally coincide with locations that run north to south. Refer to Attachment 4 to the submission for further detail.</p>	The purposes of the Transport Agency designations are supported in full. There is no apparent logic behind the ordering and numbering of the Transport Agency's designations. This increases the difficulty in finding relevant designations within the designations schedule. Reordering and renumbering the designations will simplify finding designations from an administrative and user point of view.	Accept	13
742.190	New Zealand Transport Agency	Neutral/Amend	<p>Amend the planning maps to show the correct boundaries of all New Zealand Transport Agency designations.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend the planning maps to re-label the New Zealand Transport Agency's designations as per the details in Attachment 4 of the submission.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Request any consequential changes necessary to give</p>	<p>The Resource Management Act provides for requiring authorities to modify its existing designations for Council to include in its Proposed District Plan (Schedule 1 clause 4).</p> <p>The submitter and Council agreed on a number of minor amendments to correct the footprint of state highway designations. While most of these designations are shown correctly on the maps, there are a number of errors that require amendment. Refer to submission for full details.</p>	Accept	13

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			effect to the relief sought in the submission.			
FS1046.1	Taumata Plantations Limited	Support	For the part concerning Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes. Hancock Forest Management New Zealand (HFM NZ) fully supports the New Zealand Transport Authority (NZTA) position and agrees that Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes should be recognised and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.HFM NZ also supports the NZTA view that existing uses in the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes overlay should be identified and allowed to continue. HFM NZ agrees that the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes overlays should be reviewed to exclude highly modified areas.	For the part concerning Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes. HFM NZ seeks that the NZTA's submission on maps be allowed so that the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes overlay can be reviewed to exclude highly modified areas.HFM NZ further requests that existing plantation forest areas are reviewed and removed from the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes overlay. Specifically, Aramiro Forest which is currently mapped as part of the Pirongia Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes area.	Accept	13
986.126	KiwiRail Holdings Limited (KiwiRail)	Support	Retain all KiwiRail designations in Section E Designations "L KiwiRail Holdings Limited" as notified AND Retain all KiwiRail designations shown on the Planning maps as notified.	KiwiRail holds designations over its operational railway lines, yards and depots within the District and supports them being shown correctly on the Planning Maps (and as they appeared in the Operative Plan) and in Section E.	Accept	15