

HI3 Appendix I: Table of recommendations on submissions

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
657.56	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Amend	<p>Amend Objective 9.1.1 Continued development and operation of the Hampton Downs Motorsport Park by deleting it and replacing it with the following wording:</p> <p><i>9.1.1 Objective – Continued development and operation of the Hampton Downs Motor Sport and Recreation Zone.</i></p> <p><i>To use and develop the Hampton Downs Motor Sport and Recreation Zone as a regionally significant Motor Sport and Recreation facility that meets the current and future needs of the community.</i></p>	<p>The identification of a zone specific objective and policies for the Hampton Downs Motor Sport and Recreation Zone is supported. The existing objective is not aspirational. The existing activities and facilities at Hampton Downs Motorsport Park are already regionally significant. The proposed objective does not appropriately recognise or provide for the continued operation and development of the Motorsport Park. Minor amendments are proposed to the wording of the proposed objective and policies, to align with the wording that was previously submitted to Council (and agreed to) as part of the Draft District Plan feedback and to be consistent with the wording of the other specific zone objectives and policies proposed in Chapter 9. The adoption of a consistent policy framework throughout the District Plan is supported.</p>	Accept in part	4.1
FS1118.7	Meremere Dragway Inc	Conditional support	<p><i>Meremere Dragway seeks that the submission point be allowed, subject to the amendment sought.</i></p>	<p><i>It is important to recognise regionally significant Motor Sport and Recreation facilities that meet the current and future needs of the community. The "Drag Way Park Specific Area" (as sought in Meremere Dragway's submission) should also be recognised as a regionally significant Motor Sport and Recreation facility that meets the current and future needs of the community. These facilities contribute to the community in a variety of ways, such as recreationally and commercially.</i></p>	Accept in part	4.1
657.57	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Amend	<p>Amend Policy 9.1.1.1 Operation and development as follows:</p> <p><i>9.1.1.1 Policy – Operation and development</i></p> <p><i>(a) Enable the continued operation and development of</i></p>	<p>The identification of a zone specific objective and policies for the Hampton Downs Motor Sport and Recreation Zone is supported. Minor amendments are proposed to the objective and policies to align with the following: The wording that was previously submitted</p>	Accept in part	4.2

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>activities, facilities and events within the Hampton Downs Motor sport and Recreation Zone by providing for:</p> <p>(i) A wide range of motor sport and recreation activities; and</p> <p>(ii) The development of facilities to support the motor sport and recreation activities and events.</p>	<p>to Council (and agreed to) as part of the Draft District Plan feedback</p> <p>To be consistent with the defined terms that are proposed in Chapter 13 (motor sport and recreation activities' and motor sport and recreation facilities' and the zone name.</p> <p>To be consistent with the wording of the other specific zone objectives and policies proposed in Chapter 9.</p> <p>The adoption of a consistent policy framework throughout the District Plan is supported.</p>		
FS1279.21	Reid Investment Trust	Support	Allowed in part.	RIT support the proposed changes to Policy 9.1.1.1, as suggested by Hampton Downs Motorsport Park, however submit that (ii) should be worded more broadly, as follows: (ii) The development of Activities and facilities to support the motor sport and recreation activities and events.	Accept in part	4.2
783.6	Reid Investment Trust	Amend	<p>Amend Policy 9.1.1.1 (ii) Operation and development, as follows:</p> <p>(a) Enable the continued operation and development of activities, facilities and events within the Motor Sport and Recreation Zone by providing for:</p> <p>(ii) The development of <u>activities and facilities</u> to support the motor sport and recreation activities and events.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Any consequential amendments or further relief to give effect to the matters raised in the submission.</p>	The addition broadens the meaning of the policy to include land use activities as well as facilities.	Reject	4.2
FS1194.6	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Disallow	Oppose the amendments proposed to Policy 9.1.1.1 (ii).	Accept	4.2
781.6	Ministry of Education	Amend	Add a new policy to Chapter 9: Specific Zones that provides for education facilities in Specific Zones as follows: Policy - Education Facilities and Specific Zones Allow activities which are compatible with the role, function and predominant character of Specific Zones, while managing the effects of the activities on the environment in the following zones: (i) Hampton Downs motor sport and recreation (ii) Te Kowhai	<p>Currently there are no policies which provide for the education facilities in Specific Zones. The Ministry seeks a new policy to enable the provision of education facilities.</p> <p>Education facilities such as schools, community education, early childhood</p>	Reject	4.2

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			Airpark (iii) Rangitahi Peninsula; and (iv) Business Zone Tamahere	<p>education, tertiary education institutions, works skills training centres, outdoor education centres and sports training establishments are located in various areas and are considered an essential social infrastructure require to support district growth. Examples in these specific zones include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Driver Training (including motor racing) ii. Pilot training iii. Schools iv. Tertiary education works skills training centres 		
657.58	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited		<p>Amend Policy 9.1.1.2 Precinct-based development as follows:</p> <p><i>9.1.1.2 Policy – Precinct-based development</i></p> <p><i>a) A precinct-based approach enables the ongoing operation and development of the Hampton Downs Motor sport Park-by and Recreation Zone such that:</i></p> <p><i>(i) Precinct A provides for the operational motorsport area including the main race tracks, and-associated facilities-pit garages and associated race control, spectator and support facilities; a convention centre and general hospitality facilities including retail, restaurant, café and food premises; vehicle workshops and garaging; corporate show rooms; parking and helipad facilities; and administration facilities;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) Precinct B providesing for a commercial business and industrial area facilities and activities that supports the Hampton Downs Motorsport Park, and also contains an existing residential dwelling;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) Precinct C providesing for a minor race tracks Traveller’s accommodation and Motor Sport and Recreation Area, and includes the consented campground, an existing race track and buildings and storage containers required to support motorsport and</i></p>	<p>The identification of a zone specific objective and policies for the Hampton Downs Motor Sport and Recreation Zone is supported. Minor amendments are proposed to the objective and policies to align with the following: The wording that was previously submitted to Council (and agreed to) as part of the Draft District Plan feedback To be consistent with the defined terms that are proposed in Chapter 13 (motor sport and recreation activities' and motor sport and recreation facilities' and the zone name. To be consistent with the wording of the other specific zone objectives and policies proposed in Chapter 9. The adoption of a consistent policy framework throughout the District Plan is supported.</p>	Accept in part	4.3

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p><i>recreation related activities;</i></p> <p><i>(iv) Precinct D providing for residential activities within the 80 existing Residential Apartments; and</i></p> <p><i>(v) Precinct E providing for industrial activities within the 12 existing industrial units.</i></p>			
FS1279.22	Reid Investment Trust	Oppose	Disallowed in part.	RIT oppose the wording of Policy 1.1.2(v), as proposed in submission point 657.58, as it restricts the use of the precinct to existing industrial activities. RIT is seeking to broaden the range of activities enabled within Precinct E, and, in their submission, suggested the following amendments to Policy 1.1.2(v): Precinct E providing for industrial activities and other land uses that support the continued development and operation of the Hampton Downs Motorsport Park within the industrial units.	Reject	4.3
783.7	Reid Investment Trust	Amend	<p>Amend Policy 9.1.1.2 (v) Precinct-based development as follows:</p> <p><i>(a) A precinct-based approach enables the ongoing operation and development of the Hampton Downs Motorsport Park by:</i></p> <p>...</p> <p><i>(v) Precinct E providing for industrial activities and other land uses that support the continued development and operation of the Hampton Downs Motorsport Park within the industrial units.</i></p> <p>AND</p> <p>Any consequential amendments or further relief to give effect to the matters raised in the submission.</p>	The struck out words are superfluous and imply that industrial activities can only be undertaken in existing buildings rather than enabling new industrial buildings and activities. The proposed amendments links the policy to the zones primary objective of enabling continued development and operation. Enables a wider range of activities in support of zone's objective.	Reject	4.3
FS1194.7	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Disallow	Oppose the amendments proposed to Policy 9.1.1.2 (v). The amendments proposed are contrary to the existing resource consents and the Proposed Zone provisions.	Accept	4.3
657.59	HD Land Limited and Hampton	Support	Amend Policy 9.1.1.3 Management of adverse	The wording that was previously submitted	Accept in part	4.4

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
	Downs (NZ) Limited		<p>effects, as follows:</p> <p><i>9.1.1.3 Policy – Management of adverse 4l effects</i></p> <p><i>(a) Mitigating adverse effects by managing:</i></p> <p><i>(i) The scale, intensity, timing and duration of activities so that adverse noise effects on the surrounding rural zone are minimised; and</i></p> <p><i>(ii) The adverse traffic safety effects to ensure the safe and efficient operation and functioning of the adjacent transport network and efficient access to surrounding facilities is maintained at all times; and</i></p> <p><i>(iii) Signs are visible from, or located in close proximity to, a public road to ensure the safe functioning of the public road; and</i></p> <p><i>(iii) (iv) The size, scale and intensity of development to ensure the amenity of the surrounding rural zone is maintained.</i></p>	<p>to Council (and agreed to) as part of the Draft District Plan feedback</p> <p>To be consistent with the defined terms that are proposed in Chapter 13 (motor sport and recreation activities' and motor sport and recreation facilities' and the zone name.</p> <p>To be consistent with the wording of the other specific zone objectives and policies proposed in Chapter 9.</p> <p>The adoption of a consistent policy framework throughout the District Plan is supported.</p>		
FS1118.8	Meremere Dragway Inc	Oppose the deletion of 9.1.1.3(a)(ii)	Meremere Dragway seeks that the submission point be disallowed.	Meremere Dragway opposes the deletion at 9.1.1.3(a)(ii) regarding efficient access. Efficient access to surrounding facilities (such as Meremere Dragway) should be maintained at all times for both safety and commercial reasons.	Accept in part	4.4
378.4	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Retain Policy 9.1.1.3 Management of adverse effects.	Retain Policy 9.1.1.3 Management of adverse effects.	Policy requires the management of adverse traffic safety effects to ensure the safe and efficient operation and functioning of the adjacent transport network and efficient access to surrounding facilities is maintained at all times. This is important to maintain access to properties for emergency vehicles.	Accept	4.4
FS1118.5	Gary Bogaart / Meremere Dragway Inc.	Support	Meremere Dragway seeks that the submission point be allowed.	Meremere Dragway supports the retention of 9.1.1.3(a)(ii) to ensure that emergency vehicles retain access to the properties neighbouring Hampton Downs Motorsport Park, especially when considering the inherent dangers (albeit very low) of some of the neighbouring	Accept	4.4

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
				<i>operations (such as Meremere Dragway).</i>		
FS1035.109	Pareoranga Te Kata	Support	Obtain statement of performance expectation (SPE) to allow submission to be accepted.	Fire safety and fire prevention to undertake training activities for fire fighters within the region.	Accept	4.4
742.61	Kim Harris-Cottle NZTA	Amend	<p>Amend Policy 9.1.1.3 Management of adverse effects as follows:</p> <p><i>(a) Mitigating-Ensure the adverse effects from the operation and development of the Hampton Downs Motorsport Park are avoided or mitigated, by managing:</i></p> <p><i>(i) The scale, intensity, timing and duration of activities so that to avoid:</i></p> <p><i>A Adverse noise effects on the surrounding Rural Zone are minimised;</i> <i>B. Adverse effects on the safety and efficiency of the transport network; and</i> <i>(ii) The adverse traffic safety effects to ensure the safe and efficient operation and functioning of the adjacent transport network and efficient access to surrounding facilities is maintained at all times; and</i> <i>(iii)(ii) Signs that are visible from, or located in close proximity to, a public road to ensure adverse effects on safety are avoided; and</i> <i>(iv)(iii) The size, scale and intensity of development to ensure the amenity of the surrounding area is maintained.</i></p> <p>AND</p> <p>Request any consequential changes necessary to give effect to the relief sought in the submission.</p>	The submitter supports the recognition in Policy 9.1.1.3 that there is potential for adverse effects from the operation of Hampton Downs Motorsport Park but considers that the word "mitigating" needs to be widened to allow effects to be avoided or mitigated.	Accept in part	4.4
FS1194.10	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Disallow	Oppose the amendments sought to widen the wording to include that effects be avoided or mitigated. In context of the HDMP, the proposed wording by NZTA is overly onerous.	Accept in part	4.4
657.35	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Amend	Amend the introduction to Chapter 26 Hampton Downs Sport and Recreation Zone, to clearly identify and describe the five Precinct Areas within the Hampton Downs Motor Sport and Recreation	To clearly define the Precinct Areas at the start of the zone provisions and refer Plan users to the relevant Planning Maps (showing the location of each Precinct	Reject	5.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			Zone	Area). Amendments are necessary so that it is clear that the Hampton Downs motor Sport and Recreation Zone comprises of five separate Precinct areas and there are specific provisions or rules that apply to each Precinct. Minor amendments to the wording/name of each Precinct Area is necessary to correspond with the land use activities within each Precinct.		
FS1279.17	Reid Investment Trust	Support in part	Allowed with changes.	RIT support the proposed addition to the introduction of Chapter 26, except for the description of Precinct E. RIT seeks that this be amended as follows: Precinct E: Industrial Units: 12 Enables industrial units and activities. The reference to 12 industrial units is considered unnecessary and restrictive.	Reject	5.1
657.36	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Amend	Add a paragraph to the introduction of Chapter 26 Hampton Downs Motor Sport and Recreation Zone outlining that Hampton Downs Motorsport Park operates under a number of existing resource consents, and the existing resource consents effectively manage the effects within the Motorsport Park	To clearly define the Precinct Areas at the start of the zone provisions and refer Plan users to the relevant Planning Maps (showing the location of each Precinct Area). Amendments are necessary so that it is clear that the Hampton Downs motor Sport and Recreation Zone comprises of five separate Precinct areas and there are specific provisions or rules that apply to each Precinct. Minor amendments to the wording/name of each Precinct Area is necessary to correspond with the land use activities within each Precinct.	Accept in part	5.1
781.18	Ministry of Education	Amend	Add a restricted discretionary activity rule to Rule 26.1 Land Use - Activities, as follows: <u>26.1.3 Restricted Discretionary Activities</u> <i>(1) The activities listed below are restricted discretionary activities.</i> <i>(2) Discretion to grant or decline consent and impose conditions is restricted to the matters of discretion set out in the following table:</i>	Education facilities are not listed in the Hampton Downs Motor Sport and Recreation Zone. Education facilities such as outdoor education centres, sports training establishments and driving schools may need to be located within this zone. The inference is that the submitter requests consistency with their requested definition of 'Education facilities'. Opposes the non-complying status.	Reject	6.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>Activity</p> <p><i>RDI Education facilities</i></p> <p>Matters of discretion</p> <p><i>a. The extent to which it is necessary to locate the activity in the Hampton Downs Sport and Recreation Zone (including Precinct B)</i></p> <p><i>b. Reverse sensitivity effects of adjacent activities</i></p> <p><i>c. The extent to which the activity may adversely impact on the transport network</i></p> <p><i>d. The extent to which the activity may adversely impact on the streetscape</i></p> <p><i>e. The extent to which the activity may adversely impact on the noise environment</i></p>			
FS1202.93	New Zealand Transport Agency	Support	Support submission point 781.18.	The Transport Agency supports the inclusion of c. the extent to which the activity may adversely impact on the transport network.	Reject	6.1
657.30	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Amend	<p>Amend the definition for "Motor sport and recreation facilities" in Chapter 13: Definitions as follows:</p> <p><i>Motor sport and recreation facilities</i></p> <p><i>Means any buildings or structures in the Motor Sport and Recreation Zone that support the development and operation of the Hampton Downs Motorsport Park and are associated with motor sport and recreation activities and include:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Race tracks, race pads and associated pit garages</i></p>	The definition for motorsport and recreation facility is supported. Minor amendments are proposed to align with the wording that was previously submitted to Council (and agreed to) as part of the District Plan feedback.	Accept in part	7.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>and support facilities;</p> <p>(b) Race control, safety, emergency and media facilities;</p> <p>(c) Corporate boxes and hospitality facilities;</p> <p>(d) Restaurants, cafes, food and beverage and merchandising retail areas;</p> <p>(e) Administration buildings and facilities;</p> <p>(e) (f) General ticketing, toilet and ablution facilities;</p> <p>(f) (g) Industrial units, vehicle workshops and storage sheds;</p> <p>(g) (h) Corporate showrooms and expo areas, including areas for the display of racing-related memorabilia;</p> <p>(h) (i) Residential accommodation and swimming pool and tennis court facilities;</p> <p>(i) (j) A convention centre;</p> <p>(j) (k) Traveller's accommodation, including a camping ground and hotel accommodation;</p> <p>(k) (l) Parking and helipad facilities;</p> <p>(l) (m) Driver training school inclusive of a skid pad;</p> <p>(m) (n) Spectator facilities including pedestrian accessways, tunnels, overbridges, spectator viewing platforms and seating areas;</p>			

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>(n) (o) A jet sprint course;</p> <p>(p) (p) Go-kart and drifting pads;</p> <p>(q) A commercial/industrial area</p> <p>(p) (r) Accessory buildings, facilities and structures such as maintenance and storage sheds, decks, shade cloths and storage containers for all items listed of the above.</p>			
FS1118.10	Meremere Dragway Inc	Conditional support	Meremere Dragway seeks that the submission point be allowed subject to the further amendments sought.	Conditionally Support - It is reasonable to extend the definition of "Motor sport and recreation facilities" to include: "(e) Administration buildings and facilities"; and"(q) A commercial/industrial area".Meremere Dragway seeks that the definition of "Motor sport and recreation facilities" is extended to include buildings and structures at any other regionally significant Motor Sport and Recreation facility that support that facility's development and operation (see Meremere Dragway's further submission in relation to submission point 657.56), such as the Dragway Park Specific Area. Motorsport and recreation facilities should not just be limited to the Hampton Downs Motorsport and Recreation Zone.Meremere Dragway proposes the following amendment (or words to the same effect):"Motor sport and recreation facilitiesMeans any buildings or structures in the Motor Sport and Recreation Zone at a regionally significant Motor Sport and Recreation facility that support the development and operation of that facility and are associated with motor sport and recreation activities and include:..."	Accept in part	7.1
657.37	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Amend	<p>Amend Rule 26.1.1.1(P1) Permitted Activities - Operational Motorsport Area Precinct A Activity as follows:</p> <p><i>(P1) Motor sport and recreation facilities and/or motor sport and recreation activities</i></p>	The permitted activity rules for Precinct A (Rule 26.1.1.1 (P1) & (P2) do not provide for a motor sport and recreation activity as a permitted activity within the operational motorsport area. The current wording only provides for the motor sport and recreation facility (P1); or a day to day	Reject	7.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
				activity (P2). A motorsport and recreation activity should also clearly be provided for as a permitted activity within Precinct A; and is one of the main reasons for the site specific zoning. The activities and the facilities should both be permitted within the operational motorsport area.		
657.38	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	<p>Delete the activity specific conditions in Rule 26.1.1.1 P1 and P2 Permitted Activities - Operational Motorsport Area - Precinct A</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Delete the activity specific conditions in Rule 26.1.1.2 P1, P2, P3 and P4 Permitted Activities - Business and Industrial Area - Precinct B</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Delete the activity specific conditions in Rule 26.1.1.3 P1 Permitted Activities - Minor Race Track Area - Precinct C</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Delete the activity specific conditions in Rule 26.1.1.4 P1 Permitted Activities - Residential Apartments - Precinct D</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Delete the activity specific conditions in Rule 26.1.1.5 P1 Permitted Activities - Industrial Units - Precinct E</p>	The heading of each of the above rules already identifies the Precinct to which the Rule applies. There is no reason to duplicate the precinct area as an activity specific condition. This is a double up and so the activity specific conditions are of no use at all.	Reject	7.1, 8.1, 9.1, 10.1, 11.1
657.50	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Delete the definition for "day to day activity" from Chapter 13 Definitions in its entirety.	The inclusion of a definition for 'day to day activity' within the District Plan is opposed. The inclusion of this definition is unnecessary and should be deleted.	Accept in part	7.1
831.67	Raglan Naturally	Amend	Amend the definition of 'day-to-day activity' to provide for new skateparks, as the existing Raglan		Reject	7.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			skatepark is overcrowded.			
657.39	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	<p>Delete Rule 26.1.1.2 P1, P2 and P3 Permitted Activities - Business and Industrial Area Precinct B Activity in their entirety</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Add a new rule 26.1.1.2 P1 (insert name) as follows:</p> <p><i>P1 A business or industrial activity.</i></p>	<p>Permitted Activity Rules for Precinct B are unnecessarily complex. Precinct B is an area of approximately 17 hectares that has been consented for business/industrial development. There is also an existing dwelling located within Precinct B. Development of the consented business/industrial area will occur in accordance with the existing resource consent. No need to impose additional rules/controls within this Precinct Area, nor is there any reason to differentiate between 'automotive activities' non-automotive activities' and 'general warehousing' within the Permitted Activity Rules. Provisions include too many definitions (and relate to activities that have already been consented at the site) P1 - P3 for Precinct B should be simplified to comprise a single rule (P1) that provides for 'a Business or Industrial activity' within Precinct B.</p>	Accept in part	8.1
657.40	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Support/Amend	<p>Retain Rule 26.1.1.2 P4 Permitted Activities - Business and Industrial Area - Precinct B Activity except for the amendments outlined below.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend Rule 26.1.1.2 P4 Permitted Activities - Business and Industrial Area - Precinct B B Activity as follows:</p> <p><i>A residential activity within an existing dwelling, and alterations or additions to the existing dwelling</i></p> <p>AND</p> <p>Delete the Activity Specific Condition for Rule</p>	<p>Rule P4 recognises the existing residential activity within Precinct B and should therefore be retained. To allow for alterations and extensions to the existing dwelling.</p>	Accept in part	8.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			26.1.1.2 P4 Permitted Activities - Business and Industrial Area - Precinct B Activity			
657.51	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Delete the definition for "General Warehousing" from Chapter 13 Definitions in its entirety.	The inclusion of a definition for 'General warehousing' within the District Plan is opposed. The inclusion of this definition is unnecessary and should be deleted.	Accept	8.1
FS11279.19	Reid Investment Trust	Support in part	Null	RIT support the deletion of the definition for general warehousing which only relates to the Hampton Downs Motorsport and Recreation zone. However, RIT suggests the inclusion of a definition for 'warehousing' into the District Plan that relates to all zones. This would clarify the meaning of the term 'warehousing' which forms part of the definition for industrial activities. RIT suggest the following changes to the definition of 'general warehousing': General Warehousing: Means, within the Hampton Downs Motor Sport and Recreation Zone, premises used for the receipt, storage and disposal of materials, articles or goods that are not sold directly from the premises.	Accept in part	8.1
FS1387.90	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury D	Oppose	Null	At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.	Reject	8.1
657.52	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Delete the definition for "Non-automotive activities" from Chapter 13 Definitions in its entirety.	The inclusion of a definition for 'Non-automotive activities' within the District Plan is opposed. The inclusion of this definition is unnecessary and should be deleted.	Accept	8.1
FS1279.20	Reid Investment Trust	Support	Allowed.	RIT agree that the definition of 'non-automotive	Accept	8.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
				<i>activities' is superfluous and can be deleted.</i>		
657.31	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Delete the definition for "Automotive activities" from Chapter 13 Definitions in its entirety.	The inclusion of a definition for 'Non-automotive activities' within the District Plan is opposed. The inclusion of this definition is unnecessary and should be deleted.	Accept	8.1
FS1279.13	Reid Investment Trust	Support	Allowed.	RIT agree that the definition of 'non-automotive activities' is superfluous and can be deleted.	Reject	8.1
657.54	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Delete the definition for "Activities not provided for in Precinct B in the Motor sport and Recreation Zone" from Chapter 13 Definitions in its entirety.	The inclusion of a definition for 'Activities not provided for in Precinct B in the Motor sport and Recreation Zone' within the District Plan is opposed. The inclusion of this definition is unnecessary and should be deleted.	Accept	8.1
657.41	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Amend	Amend the heading in Rule 26.1.1.3 Permitted Activities Minor Race Track Area- Precinct C by replacing "Minor Race Track Area" with " <u>Travellers' Accommodation and Motor Sport and Recreation Area</u> "	To ensure the District Plan provisions correspond with the existing (and consented) land use activities in this area of the Motorsport Park.	Reject	9.1
657.42	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Support	Retain Rule 26.1.1.3 (P1) Permitted Activities - Minor Race Track Area - Precinct C insofar as it provides for traveller's accommodation and facilities as a permitted activity in Precinct C.	Precinct C (the Traveller's accommodation and Motor Sport and Recreation Area) includes the consented campground, and existing race track and building and storage containers required to support motorsport and recreation related activities.	Accept	9.1
657.43	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Amend	Add a new rule to the permitted activities in Rule 26.1.1.3 Permitted Activities - Minor Race Track Area - Precinct C as follows: <i>P2 A motor sport and recreation facility and/or activity.</i>	A motorsport and recreation facility and/or activity should also clearly be provided for as a permitted activity within Precinct C.	Reject	9.1
657.44	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Support	Retain Rule 26.1.1.4 P1 Permitted Activities - Residential Apartments - Precinct D as notified.	The permitted activity rule for 'a residential activity within the residential apartments' within Precinct D is supported.	Accept	10.1
657.45	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Support	Retain Rule 26.1.1.5 P1 Permitted Activities - Industrial Units - Precinct E	The permitted activity for 'an industrial activity within the industrial units' within Precinct E is supported.	Accept	11.1
FS1279.18	Reid Investment Trust	Oppose	Disallowed.	RIT consider that the retention of Rule 26.1.1.5 as notified is too restrictive. Re-wording of Rule 26.1.1.5 is proposed as follows: Industrial activities, carparking, within the industrial activities automotive and non-automotive activities. The activity is carried out in Precinct E (Industrial Units).	Reject	11.1
783.8	Reid Investment Trust	Amend	Amend Rule 26.1.1.5 Permitted activities - Industrial	The proposed changes enable car parking,	Reject	11.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>Units - Precinct E as follows:</p> <p><i>An Industrial activities, car parking, y-within-the industrial units <u>automotive and non-automotive activities.</u></i></p> <p>AND</p> <p>Any consequential amendments or further relief to give effect to the matters raised in the submission.</p>	<p>automotive and non-automotive activities to be established within Precinct E, in addition to industrial activities. This is considered congruent with the zone's primary objective of continued development and operation of the Motorsport Park and allows a wider range of compatible activities to be established within Precinct E, similar to Precinct B.</p>		
FS1194.8	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	<i>Disallow</i>	<i>Oppose the amendments proposed to Rule 26.1.15 Precinct E, and in particular the reference to 'automotive and non-automotive activities.'</i>	Accept	11.1
657.46	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 26.1.2.1 CI Controlled Activities - Operational Motor Sport Area - Precinct A in its entirety.	<p>The definition that is proposed for a Motor sport and recreation activity includes racing events and activities. Rule 26.1.1.1 provides for racing events and activities as a Permitted Activity within Precinct A. No need to differentiate between an 'event' in the rules for Precinct A. The requirement to obtain resource consent for a motor sport and recreation event within an established and operational Motorsport Park site is opposed in its entirety and is contrary to the overall purpose of the site specific zone. Motor sport and recreation events are an integral part of the operational motorsport area (Precinct A) and have already been consented (and established) at the site via the suite of existing resource consents that have been approved for the site. To recognise the existing and consented Motor sport and Recreation Activities at the site and to allow for their continual operation and expansion without requiring additional resource consents (where the scale and intensity is still in accordance with the existing resource consents). Definition for Motorsport and Recreation</p>	Accept	12.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
				Activity (refer to submission) was developed in consultation with Council and include both events and activities. Differentiating between "events" and "activities" is potentially confusing.		
742.165	New Zealand Transport Agency	Support	Retain Rule 26.1.2.1 C1 Controlled Activities - Operational Motor Sport Area - Precinct A as notified.	The submitter supports Council retaining control over these activities.	Reject	12.1
FS1194.11	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Disallow	Oppose the retention of rule 26.1.2.1 C1.	Accept	12.1
657.47	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 26.1.2.2 C1 Controlled Activities - Minor Race Track Area - Precinct C in its entirety.	The definition proposed for 'Motor sport and recreation activity' includes 'racing events and activities'. Requested inclusion of a new rule (in Rule 26.1.1.3(P2)) that provides for racing events and activities as a Permitted Activity within Precinct C. No need to differentiate between an 'event' in the rules. The requirement to obtain resource consent for a motor sport and recreation event within an established and operational Motorsport Park site is opposed in its entirety and contrary to the overall purpose of the site specific zone. To recognise the existing and consented Motor sport and Recreation Activities at the site and to allow for their continual operation and expansion without requiring additional resource consents (where the scale and intensity is still in accordance with the existing resource consents). Definition for Motorsport and Recreation Activity (refer to submission) was developed in consultation with Council and include both events and activities. Distinguishing between 'events' and 'activities' is potentially confusing and considered unnecessary.	Reject	12.1
657.48	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 26.1.2.2 C2 Controlled Activities - Minor Race Track Area - Precinct C in its entirety.	Requested inclusion of a new rule (in Rule 26.1.1.3(P2)) that provides for racing events and activities as a Permitted Activity within Precinct C. The requirement to obtain resource consent for a motor sport and recreation event within an established and operational Motorsport Park site is opposed in its entirety and contrary to the	Reject	12.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
				overall purpose of the site specific zone. To recognise the existing and consented Motor sport and Recreation Activities at the site and to allow for their continual operation and expansion without requiring additional resource consents (where the scale and intensity is still in accordance with the existing resource consents). Definition for Motorsport and Recreation Activity (refer to submission) was developed in consultation with Council and include both events and activities. Distinguishing between 'events' and 'activities' is potentially confusing and considered unnecessary.		
657.29	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Amend	<p>Amend the District Plan definition for 'Motorsport and Recreation Event in Chapter 13 Definitions by deleting the definition and replacing it with the following:</p> <p>Motorsport and Recreation <u>Activity Event</u></p> <p><u>Means any activity in the Hampton Downs Motorsport and Recreation Zone that provides motorised and/or non-motorised entertainment, sport, education or training, and includes but is not limited to:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Racing events and activities</u> • <u>Off-road racing such as moto-cross or bmx</u> • <u>Driver training or education</u> • <u>Club days</u> • <u>Vehicle testing and practise activities</u> • <u>Organised events</u> • <u>Go karts and go kart drifting</u> • <u>Multi sport and off road running events</u> • <u>Paintball, lazer tag, outdoor skate parks and clay bird shooting</u> <p><u>Drifting for motor vehicles</u></p>	The proposed definition is opposed. It does not correspond with the definition that was submitted and agree to as part of the Draft District Plan feedback and the Council workshops that Hampton Downs Motorsport Park previously attended. A definition for a 'motorsport and recreation activity' is proposed instead of a 'motorsport and recreation event'. The reference to 'events' in the definition appears to be a duplication of one of the existing resource consent conditions. Hampton Downs Motorsport Park is opposed to any proposal to duplicate the existing resource consent conditions as zone provisions. The purpose of the zone is to recognise and provide for existing (and consented) motor sport and recreation activities and facilities at the site, and their future operation and expansion. A new definition is required to provide greater certainty to all parties in relation to the existing and consented land use activities at the site.	Accept in part	12.1
FS1118.9	Gary Bogaart / Meremere	Support	Meremere Dragway seeks that the submission point be	Conditionally Support - It is reasonable to	Reject	12.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
	Dragway Inc for Brookfields Lawyers		allowed subject to the further amendments sought. To avoid doubt, this relief is sought in conjunction with the relief sought below in relation to original submission point 657.56, that the definition of regionally significant Motor Sport and Recreation facility includes both Hampton Downs Motor Sport Park and Dragway Park Specific Area.	extend the definition of "Motor and Recreation Activity" (or, as notified, "Motor Sport and Recreation Event"). Meremere Dragway seeks that the definition of "Motor Sport and Recreation Activity" is extended to include any activity at any other regionally significant Motor Sport and Recreation facility (see Meremere Dragway's further submission in relation to submission point 657.56), in particular the Dragway Park Specific Area. Meremere Dragway proposes the following amendment (or words to the same effect): "Motor Sport and Recreation Activity Means any activity in the Hampton Downs Motorsport and Recreation zone at a regionally significant Motor Sport and Recreation facility that provides motorised and/or non-motorised entertainment, sport, education or training, and includes but is not limited to: ..."		
657.49	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	<p>Amend Rule 26.1.3 D1 Discretionary Activities - All Precincts by deleting the existing wording and replace with the following new rule:</p> <p><u>26.1.3 Discretionary Activities – All precincts</u></p> <p><u>D1 Any activity that is not a motorsport and recreation activity or a motorsport and recreation facility or any activity not listed in Rule 26.1.1.</u></p>	<p>The wording (and purpose) of this rule is unclear. The 783.12 wording in the Rule Heading applies that this rule applies to all Precincts. Precinct B is later excluded in the same Rule (The latter part of the Rule only applies to Precincts A, C, D and E (Not Precinct B). Clarification is sought with respect to why Precinct B has been excluded (and is non-complying instead of Discretionary. Assumed that the purpose of the Discretionary Activity is to capture those activities that are not 'motor sport and recreation facility' (both of which should be defined terms in the District Plan) and/or activities that are not already consented at the Motor Park site.</p>	Accept in part	13.1
657.1	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	<p>Delete Rule 26.1.4 NCI Non-complying Activities - Precinct B in its entirety.</p>	<p>The non-complying activity status for Precinct B differs to the discretionary activity status for all other Precincts, and is therefore opposed. It is unclear why the provisions for Precinct B default to non-complying when the provisions for all other Precincts default to discretionary. Activities not provided for under the Permitted Activity rules for Precinct B</p>	Accept	14.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
				should be discretionary not non-complying.		
657.2	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited		Delete Rule 26.1.4 NC2 Non-complying Activities - Precinct B in its entirety	The reference to 'dangerous activities' is not considered appropriate for an international motorsport park (and the existing consented motor sport and recreation activities that occur on site). There is an existing condition in the main resource consent for the Motorsport Park that appropriately addresses these concerns. It is not appropriate (or necessary) to duplicate those provisions here.	Accept	14.1
419.131	Lucy Deverall-Horticulture New Zealand	Oppose	Delete the definition of "Noxious, dangerous, offensive or toxic activities" from Chapter 13 Definitions. AND Any consequential or additional amendments as a result of changes sought in the submission.		Accept	14.1
412.3	David Saxton	Amend	Amend Rule 26.2.1 Noise - Motor sport and recreation activity, by specifying that no excessive noise associated with the motor sport park is permitted between 7pm and 7am on any day.	Through the development of this motor sport park, locals largely accepted that the activities would exceed noise levels allowed in the District Plan for the Rural Zone but were reassured that no night-time racing would occur. The park has recently run a very noisy event that had a 24 hour duration which is intolerable for adjacent neighbours and it is considered that this breaches the existing resource consents.	Accept in part	15.1
FS1118.16	Meremere Dragway Inc	Oppose	<i>Meremere Dragway seeks that the submission point be disallowed.</i>	<i>It is important that existing activities in the District are provided for and that overburdensome restrictions are avoided. The noise rules in the Rural Zone as set out in the Proposed District Plan are appropriate in addressing the effects of motor sport.</i>	Reject	15.1
657.3	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 26.2.1 PI-DI Noise - Motorsport and Recreation Activity in its entirety.	Noise is already addressed in the existing resource consents for the Motorsport Park site. The addition of noise standards within the zone provisions is effectively duplicating parts of the existing resource consent(s) and has the potential to result in a number of different noise standards applying to the site. The reference to notional boundary of any dwelling is opposed. The existing dwellings to the rear of the Motorsport	Reject	15.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
				Park site all have no complaints covenants and were previously part of the HDMP site. The existing resource consent specifies a noise contour boundary for the surrounding area. The proposed wording affords a consented international motorsport no protection against new dwellings looking to develop nearby.		
923.165	Waikato District Health Board	Amend	<p>Amend Rule 26.2.1 P1, P2 and P3 Noise - Motorsport and Recreation activity, as follows:</p> <p><i>P1</i></p> <p><i>(a) The sound noise-level from motor sport and recreation activities, measured in accordance with NZS 6801:2008 and assessed in accordance with NZS 6802:2008, shall not exceed the following limits measured at any point beyond the 'Hampton Downs Noise Control Boundary' shown in Appendix 12 (Motorsport and Recreation):</i></p> <p><i>(i) 65dB L_{Aeq(1.5min)} dBA L10 on no more than 27 days per year (with no more than 10 of the 27 days to be on a Sunday or public holiday) between the hours of 9.00am-6.00pm; and</i></p> <p><i>(ii) 55dB L_{Aeq(1.5min)} dBA L10 on no more than 40 days per year between the hours of 9.00am-6.00pm; and</i></p> <p><i>(iii) 50 dB L_{Aeq(1.5min)} dBA L10 between the hours of 7am to 6pm any other days of the year; and</i></p> <p><i>(iv) 45 dB L_{Aeq(1.5min)} dBA L10, between the hours of 6pm to 10pm every day of the year, and</i></p> <p><i>(v) 40dB L_{Aeq(1.5min)} dBA L10 and 65 dB L_{AFmax} dBA Lmax at all other times</i></p> <p><i>(b) The motor racing activities in Rule 26.2.1 P1 (a) (i) and (ii) are exclusive of each other and the activities are</i></p>	Sound from motorsport and other activity at Hampton Downs is currently authorized by a resource consent. The proposed permitted activity standards closely follow but do not exactly mirror the resource consent. Issues have been identified with the noise controls in both the existing resource consent and proposed rules: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Noise limits have been written in terms of the outdated "L10" parameter. This doesn't represent good practice and might not adequately represent sound from short duration events. It also inconsistent with other noise limits in the plan. - Incorrect notation has been used. - Measurement and assessment standards are not specified in the proposed plan (they are in the resource consent). It is submitted these matters should be addressed in the new permitted activity standards. While this does not prevent activity continuing under the existing resource consent, it sets a robust baseline for any further developments or alterations to activity. Council could also update the noise conditions in the resource consent to match the new plan provisions under the review condition (97). 	Accept in part	15.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>considered to be on separate days.</p> <p>P2</p> <p>The use of a public address system shall only occur between the hours of 7.00am and 7.00pm and shall not exceed a noise limit of 50 dBA L10: dBA LAeq(15min), measured at any point beyond the 'Hampton Downs Noise Control Boundary' shown in Appendix 12 (Motorsport and Recreation) in accordance with NZS 6801:2008 and assessed in accordance with NZS 6802:2008.</p> <p>P3</p> <p>...</p> <p>C. A programme of noise management and assessment of compliance with the noise standards, including details of the monitoring of noise levels for vehicles competing in events with a noise limit of 65 dB LAeq(15 min) dBA L10:</p>			
657.4	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 26.2.2 Noise - Precincts B and C in its entirety.	<p>The activities within Precincts B and C have already been consented under existing resource consents and will be developed in accordance with the conditions of the existing resource consents. Opposed to any new conditions being imposed on either of these areas. The addition of noise standards within the zone provisions is effectively duplicating parts of the existing resource consent(s) and has the potential to result in a number of different noise standards applying to the site. The reference to the notional boundary of any dwelling is also opposed. The existing dwellings to the rear of the Motorsport Park site all have no complaints covenants and were previously part of the HDMP site. The existing resource consent specifies a noise contour boundary for the surrounding area. The proposed wording affords a consented international motorsport park no</p>	Accept in part	15.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
				protection against new dwellings looking to develop nearby.		
923.166	Waikato District Health Board	Amend	<p>Amend Rule 26.2.2 PI and D1- Noise- Business and Industrial Area Precinct B and Minor Race Track Area- Precinct C as follows:</p> <p><i>PI</i></p> <p>(a) Sound The noise level from activities within Precinct B and Precinct C, other than motor racing activities within Precinct B and Precinct C, measured in accordance with NZS 6801:2008 and assessed in accordance with NZS 6802:2008 must shall not exceed the following noise limits when measured at the at any point within a notional boundary on any other site of any dwelling:</p> <p>(i) 50dB $L_{Aeq}(1.5min)$ 7am to 7pm, Monday to Saturday excluding public holidays;</p> <p>(ii) 40 dB $L_{Aeq}(1.5min)$ 7am to 7pm, Sunday and Public Holidays;</p> <p>(iii) 40 dB $L_{Aeq}(1.5min)$ 7pm to 7am the following day;</p> <p>(iv) 75 dB L_{AFmax} 10pm to 7am the following day;</p> <p>Monday to Friday 7:00am to 7:00pm 50 dBA L10.</p> <p>Saturday 7:00am to 6:00pm 50 dBA L10.</p> <p>All other times including public holidays 40 dBA L10</p> <p>Monday to Sunday 10:00pm to 7:00am 75 dBA Lmax.</p> <p>Noise levels shall be measured and assessed in accordance with the requirements 6801:2008 'Acoustics- Measurement of environmental sound' and NZS</p>	<p>The proposed noise limits are generally in accordance with guideline values and use current measurement and assessment standards, acoustical metrics, numerical values, time-frames and assessment location. However, the following issues have been identified: - Incorrect terminology has been used in conflict with the standards specified, - No provision has been made for sound sources outside the scope of NZS 6802, - Noise limits have been written in terms of the outdated "L10" parameter. This doesn't represent good practice and might not adequately represent sound from short duration events. It also inconsistent with other noise limits in the plan. - There is a difference of one hour between noise limits on Monday-Friday and Saturdays, which is inconsistent with other zones and is an unnecessary complication. - Notional boundary is defined in Chapter 13 and should not be redefined or repeated in this rule. - The format of the rule is inconsistent with other zones.</p>	Accept in part	15.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>6802:2008 'Acoustics—Environmental noise.'</p> <p>(b)The notional boundary means a line 20m from the façade of any dwelling or the legal boundary, whichever is the closer to the dwelling as defined in NZS 6801:2008 'Acoustics—Measurement of environmental sound.'</p> <p>-DI</p> <p>(a)Sound that is outside the scope of NZS 6802:2008 or a permitted activity standard; and</p> <p>(b)Sound Any activity that does not comply with Rule 26.2.2 P1.</p>			
657.5	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 26.2.4 Landscaping and screening in its entirety.	Landscaping is already in place (in accordance with the existing resource consent) and is required to be maintained on an ongoing basis in accordance with the existing resource consent. Landscaping is required around part of the perimeter (adjacent to the State Highway), and is not affected by any new development at the site.	Accept in part	16.1
742.166	Kim Harris-Cottle NZTA	Support	Retain Rule 26.2.4 P1 Landscaping and Screening, as notified; AND Retain 26.2.4 P2 Landscaping and Screening, as notified.	The submitter supports the need for landscaping and planting to ensure that the events are screened from the state highway.	Accept in part	16.1
FS1194.12	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Disallow	<i>Oppose the retention of Rule 26.2.4 P1.</i>	Reject	16.1
657.6	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 26.2.5 Earthworks - All Precincts in its entirety.	The existing resource consents already include conditions with respect to earthworks.	Reject	17.1
783.9	Reid Investment Trust	Amend	Amend Rule 26.2.5 P1 (a)(ii) Earthworks - All Precincts as follows: (a) Earthworks within a site must meet the following	The proposed thresholds for requiring earthworks consent in the Hampton Downs Motorsport and Recreation Zone are considered too onerous, given the	Accept	17.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>conditions:</p> <p>...</p> <p>(ii) Not exceed a volume of more than 250m³ 1,000m³ and an area of more than 1,000m² 2,000m² within a site...</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Any consequential amendments or further relief to give effect to the matters raised in the submission.</p>	<p>surrounding rural land uses. Amend to align the thresholds for earthworks consents with those of the Rural Zone. These are considered appropriate to adequately manage the effects of earthworks.</p>		
657.7	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 26.2.6 Motorsport and Recreation Events - Precincts A and C in its entirety.	The existing resource consents already include conditions with respect to the number of spectators and events. There is no reason to duplicate those conditions in the zone provisions.	Accept in part	18.1
657.55	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Delete the definition for "Spectator" from Chapter 13 Definitions in its entirety.	The inclusion of a definition for 'Spectator' within the District Plan is opposed. The inclusion of this definition within the District Plan is unnecessary and should be deleted.	Accept	18.1
742.167	Kim Harris-Cottle - NZTA	Support	<p>Retain Rule 26.2.6 P1 Motorsport and Recreation Events – Precincts A and C as notified</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Retain Rule 26.2.6 P2 Motorsport and Recreation Events – Precincts A and C as notified</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Retain Rule 26.2.6 P3 Motorsport and Recreation Events – Precincts A and C, as notified</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Retain Rule 26.2.6 D1 Motorsport and Recreation</p>	The thresholds should ensure there are no adverse effects on the safety and efficiency of the transport network that cannot be mitigated.	Accept in part	18.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			Events – Precincts A and C as notified.			
FS1194.13	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Disallow	Oppose the retention of Rule 26.2.6 P1, P2, P3 and D1 as notified.	Reject	18.1
378.53	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Support	Retain Rule 26.2.7 Motor sport and Event Traffic Management - All precincts.	Fire and Emergency New Zealand supports provisions in Rule 26.2.7 as a Traffic Management Plan shall be prepared and provision shall be made for emergency service vehicles, e.g. fire, police, ambulance, military, Department of Corrections, vehicles needing emergency access to any site or dwelling located on, or with access from Hampton Downs Road. The operation of the Hampton Downs Motorsport Park for a motor sport and recreation event is a Controlled Activity if access provisions are complied with.	Accept in part	19.1
FS1035.159	Pareoranga Te Kata	Support	Obtain statement of performance expectation (SPE) to allow submission to be accepted.	Fire safety and fire prevention to undertake training activities for fire fighters within the region.	Accept in part	19.1
657.8	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Support	Delete Rule 26.2.7 Motorsport and Events Traffic Management - All Precincts in its entirety.	The existing resource consents already include conditions with respect to traffic management, carparking and overflow parking. The overflow carparking area that is sometimes used is not formed (and therefore would not comply with the proposed rules). There is no reason to duplicate those conditions in the zone provisions.	Accept in part	19.1
742.168	Kim Harris-Cottle - NZTA	Support	Retain Rule 26.2.7 C1 Motorsport and Event Traffic Management – All Precincts, as notified; AND Retain Rule 26.2.7 C2 Motorsport and Event Traffic Management – All Precincts; as notified; AND Retain Rule 26.2.7 C3 Motorsport and Event Traffic	The submitter supports: - the requirements in regards to a Traffic Management Plan being undertaken and what is to be included in that Plan; - the minimum deceleration lengths at off ramps from the state highway and the travel speed percentages; and - having a representative from the NZ Transport Agency for the Implementation Monitoring Committee to address traffic safety matters.	Accept in part	19.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			Management – All Precincts, as notified.			
FS1194.14	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Disallow	Oppose the retention of Rule 26.2.7 C1, C2, C3 as notified.	Accept	19.1
657.9	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 26.2.8 Carparking, Access and Roading - All Precincts in its entirety	The existing resource consents already include conditions with respect to traffic management, carparking and overflow parking. The overflow carparking area that is sometimes used is not formed (and therefore would not comply with the proposed rules). There is no reason to duplicate those conditions in the zone provisions.	Accept in part	20.1
742.169	Kim Harris-Cottle - NZTA	Support	Retain Rule 26.2.10 PI Glare and Artificial Light Spill, as notified. AND Retain Rule 26.2.10 RDI Glare and Artificial Light Spill, as notified.	The submitter supports all rules in this section.	Accept in part	21.1
602.55	Greig Metcalfe	Amend	Amend Rule 26.2.11 P2 (a) Signs - general, as follows: <i>(a) Any real estate 'for sale' sign relating to the site on which it is located must comply with all of the following conditions:</i> <i>(i) There is no more than 1 sign per agency measuring 600mm x 900mm per road frontage of the site to which the sign relates;</i> <i>(ii) There is no more than 1 sign measuring 1800mm x 1200mm per site to which the sign relates;</i> <i>(iii) There is no more than 1 real estate header sign measuring 1800mm x 1200mm on one other site;</i>	The notified rules for real estate signs are too restrictive. Corner sites should be able to have additional sign opportunities without adversely affecting residential character and amenity. Allowance should be made for feature signs which are commonly used for properties going to auction or tender. Header signs should be able to be established on another sign (often on a high volume road) to direct purchasers to the site which is for sale (often on a low volume road).	Accept in part	22.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>(iii)-(iv) <i>The sign is not illuminated;</i></p> <p>(iii)-(v) <i>The sign does not contain any moving parts, fluorescent, flashing or revolving lights or reflective materials;</i></p> <p>(iv) <i>(vi) The sign does not project into or over road reserve.</i></p> <p><i>(vii) Any real estate sign shall be removed from display within 60 days of sale/lease or upon settlement, whichever is the earliest.</i></p> <p>AND</p> <p>Any consequential amendments and/or additional relief required to address the matters raised in the submission.</p>			
657.10	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited		<p>Amend Rule 26.2.11 PI Signs general - All Precincts so that the signage rule only applies to signage that is orientated towards the public roads as follows:</p> <p><i>PI</i></p> <p>(a) A sign shall comply with all of the following conditions <i>A sign that is:</i></p> <p><i>(i) Set back less than 7.5m from Hampton Downs Road; and/or</i></p> <p><i>(ii) Set back less than 1.5m from State Highway One</i></p> <p><i>And is externally facing so that the main audience is motorists travelling along Hampton Downs Road or State Highway One, shall comply with the following conditions:</i></p>	<p>The rules relating to signage should only apply to signage that is located within the front building setback and that is outward facing to ensure the intended audience is motorists travelling along either the Waikato Expressway or Hampton Downs Road. There should be no other restrictions regarding signage within the Motorsport Park. 3m2 is not considered appropriate or reasonable for signage at a motorsport park. Large, clear and legible signage is required for driver safety and as part of the overall Motorsport experience, and is larger than 3m2 (i.e. the signs along the sides of the race track (visible to spectators and drivers only). There should be no controls/restrictions regarding the size or area of internal signage within the zone. The maximum sign height of 10m is supported. The restrictions regarding flashing or moving signs are</p>	Accept in part	22.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>(i) It does not exceed 3.5m2;</p> <p>(ii) The sign height shall not exceed 10m;</p> <p>(iii) Illuminated signs shall not:</p> <p>A. Have a light source that flashes or moves;</p> <p>B. Contain moving parts or reflective materials.</p> <p>(iv) It is setback at least 7.5m from the boundary of Hampton Downs Road;</p> <p>(v) It is set back at least 15m from State Highway 1;</p> <p>(vi) Is orientated to be internally facing so the main audience are spectators on site;</p> <p>(vii) Is screened from State Highway 1;</p> <p>(viii)(iv) It relates to:</p> <p>A. Any motor sport and creation activity or events facility within the Motorsport and Recreation Zone; or</p> <p>B. A property name sign.</p>	<p>opposed insofar as they relate to internal signage within the zone. There should be no restrictions regarding internal signage (including flashing or moving signs) within the Motor Sport and Recreation zone. The restrictions regarding flashing or moving signs are associated with driver safety and should only apply to signage that is located within the front setback and is directed at motorists travelling along a public road. Provisions around driver safety within the Motorsport Park already provide appropriate control for internal signage. Flashing or moving signs are considered appropriate for an International Motorsport Park and contribute to the overall visitor experience. The minimum setbacks in Rules (iv) and (v) are supported, however amendments are proposed so that these standards are moved to the beginning/heading of the Rule. The suggested amendments in rules (i) - (iii) only apply to signs located within the minimum setback from the Waikato Expressway and Hampton Downs Road. Not all signage is internally facing. In some situations it is appropriate to erect signage along the State Highway boundary. The signage provisions do not allow for these types of signs. The requirement that all signage is screened from the State Highway is opposed. Due to the size of the Hampton Downs Motorsport Park site and its topography, it is not possible to screen all of the internal signage from the adjacent State Highway. Signage that is outward facing and directed towards the State Highway will also not be screened. Oppose any restrictions regarding sign content. The signage within the Motorsport Park often relates to specific sponsors, team branding and motor related products. Signage forms an</p>		

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
				essential part of the overall experience at the Motor Sport Park, but would be excluded under the existing wording. Internal signage is required for safety/information purposes or to add to the overall spectator experience.		
FS1279.1	Reid Investment Trust	Support	Allowed with changes.	RIT support modifications to the notified signage rules in the Hampton Downs Motorsport and Recreation zone and propose the following changes to Rule 26.2.11 P1: A sign that is: (i) Set back less than 27.5m from Hampton Downs Road; and/or (ii)... (i) it does not exceed 105m2; ...	Accept in part	22.1
657.11	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Amend	Add a new rule to Rule 26.2.11 P3 Signs General – All Precincts as follows: <u>P3</u> <i>(a) Signs that are setback at least 7.5m from Hampton Downs Road and at least 15m from State Highway One.</i>	There should be no restrictions regarding internal signage within the Hampton Downs Motorsport and Recreation Zone. This rule will differentiate between signage that is located within the front building setbacks and internal signage within the Hampton Downs Motor Sport and Recreation Zone.	Reject	22.1
FS1279.2	Reid Investment Trust	Support in part	Allowed with changes.	RIT support modifications to the notified signage rules in the Hampton Downs Motorsport and Recreation Zone, however, propose the following changes to Rule 26.2.11 P3 to enable a sensible setback for signage from Hampton Downs Road: P3 (a) Signs that are setback at least 27.5m from Hampton Downs Road and at least 15m from State Highway One.	Reject	22.1
657.12	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Support	Retain the Restricted Discretionary activity status in Rule 26.2.11 RD1 Signs General - All Precincts	The restricted discretionary activity status for a sign that cannot comply with Rule 26.2.11(P1).	Accept	22.1
FS1279.4	Reid Investment Trust	Support	Allowed.	RIT support a restricted discretionary activity status for signs that do not comply with Rule 26.2.11 (P1).	Accept	22.1
742.170	Kim Harris-Cottle - NZTA	Support	Retain Rule 26.2.11 P1 Signs general - All precincts, as notified. AND Retain Rule 26.2.11 RD1 Signs general - All	The submitter supports Rule 26.2.11 P1 and supports the matters of discretion under RD1. particularly (b)(ii), (b)(iii), (b)(iv) and (b)(v).	Accept	22.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			precincts, as notified.			
FS1194.15	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Disallow	Oppose the retention of Rule 26.2.11 PI, RDI as notified.	Reject	22.1
657.13	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited		Delete Rule 26.2.12 Signs - effects on traffic - All Precincts in its entirety	Any rules restricting internal signage within the Hampton Downs Motor Sport and Recreation Zone are opposed. It is not appropriate to impose conditions limiting or controlling the signage within an International Motorsport Park. The signage associated with the consented motorsport and recreation activities and facilities forms and integral part of the overall development and can often imitate the content, colour or appearance of a traffic control sign. The conditions in Rule 26.2.12 should not apply to the Hampton Downs Motorsport and Recreation Zone.	Reject	23.1
742.171	Kim Harris-Cottle - NZTA		Retain Rule 26.2.12 PI Signs- effects on traffic- All Precincts, except for the amendments sought below AND Amend Rule 26.2.12 PI(v) Signs - effects on traffic - All Precincts as follows: <i>Contain no more than 40 characters and no more than 6 words, symbols or graphics;</i> AND Request any consequential changes necessary to give effect to the relief sought in the submission.	The submitter supports the intent of Rule 26.2.12 PI but seeks amendment to provide clarification on the maximum amount of words permitted. This will ensure that signage erected does not cause unnecessary visual clutter or affect the efficient, safe and effective functioning of the transport network.	Reject	23.1
FS1194.16	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Disallow	Oppose the retention of Rule 26.2.12 PI, RDI as notified; and Oppose the slight amendments sought of Rule 26.2.12 PI (v).	Accept	23.1
742.172	Kim Harris-Cottle - NZTA	Support	Retain Rule 26.2.12 DI Signs - effects on traffic - All	The submitter supports Council having full	Accept	23.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			Precinct as notified.	discretion over signs that do not comply with permitted activity standards.		
FS1194.17	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Disallow	Oppose the retention of Rule 26.2.12 D1 as notified.	Reject	23.1
657.14	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited		Delete Rule 26.2.13 Scale and duration of Travellers Accommodation - Precinct C in its entirety.	The traveller's accommodation activities are already consented under the existing land use consent and will establish in accordance with the existing resource consent. Opposed to any proposal to impose additional restrictions on an activity that has already been consented at the site. The traveller's accommodation activities within Precinct 3 will be developed in accordance with the existing resource consent. Any additional standards are opposed.	Accept in part	24
657.15	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited		Amend the heading of Rule 26.3.1 as follows: <i>26.3.1 Construction or alteration of a building or structure – All Precincts Motorsport and recreational facilities – Precinct A</i>	The reference to 'Motorsport and Recreational facilities - Precinct A' in the heading of Rule 26.3.1 is opposed. The rule permitting the construction or alteration of a building or structure should not be limited to Precinct A only. Amendments are proposed to ensure that this Rule applies to all Precinct Areas. The 'construction or alteration of a building or structure' is for an activity that is permitted within that Precinct Area. Amendments required to ensure permitted rules apply to all Precinct Areas.	Accept in part	25.1
FS1279.3	Reid Investment Trust	Support	Allowed.	RIT support a permitted activity status for the construction of buildings in all precincts.	Accept	25.1
657.16	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited		Retain the permitted activity status of Rule 26.3.1 P1 Motorsport and recreational facilities - Precinct A AND Amend the wording of Rule 26.3.1 P1 Motorsport and recreational facilities - Precinct A as follows: <i>(P1) Construction or alteration of a building or structure for a motor sport and recreation activity or facility in Precinct A (Operational Motorsport Area) identified on</i>	The permitted activity status in Rule 26.3.1 (P1) is supported and should be retained. Amendments are proposed to the wording of Rule (P1) (if it is retained) to ensure that the Rule applies to both motorsport and recreation 'activities' and 'facilities'. Rule 26.3.1 (P1) provides for the 'construction or alteration of a building or structure for a motor sport and recreation facility in Precinct A as a permitted activity. While permitted activity status is supported, it is unclear why the above rule is necessary when the activities proposed are already permitted by Rule 26.1.1.1 and	Accept in part	25.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p><i>the planning maps.</i></p> <p>AND</p> <p>Add the following additional Rules (P2) – (P5) to Rule 26.3.1 Motorsport and recreational facilities - Precinct A:</p> <p><i>(P2) Construction or alteration of a building or structure for a business or industrial activity; or a residential activity within an existing dwelling in Precinct B; and</i></p> <p><i>(P3) Construction or alteration of a building or structure for a Traveller's Accommodation and Motor Sport and Recreation Activity or Facility within Precinct C; and</i></p> <p><i>(P4) Alteration to the existing residential apartment buildings for a residential activity within the existing residential apartments within Precinct D; and</i></p> <p><i>(P5) Alteration to the existing industrial units for an industrial activity within the existing industrial units within Precinct E.</i></p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend Rule 26.3.1 DI Motorsport and recreational facilities - Precinct A, to refer to the additional rules as a consequential amendment.</p>	<p>why a similar rule is not proposed for the construction or alteration of a building or structure within any of the other Precinct Areas. Clarification is sought as to whether 'the construction or alteration of a building or structure' in the other Precinct Areas would also be permitted and why a similar rule is not proposed for each of the other Precinct Areas.</p> <p>Amendments are proposed to Rule 26.3.1 to ensure that the construction or alteration of a building or structure is a permitted activity in all Precinct Areas where the building or structure is for an activity that is permitted in the Precinct.</p>		
657.17	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited		<p>Amend Rule 26.3.1 Motorsport and recreational facilities - Precinct A from its current location within the 'Land Use Building' Rules to the 'Permitted Activity Rules' in Section 26.1.1.1.</p>	<p>Amendments are proposed in the current format of Rule 26.3.1 and its location within the Chapter. Rule 26.3.1 does not fit within the bulk and location standards in the 'Land Use - Building' Section. It does not manage any bulk and location requirement (height, daylight admission etc.) Its inclusion (and purpose) within this section of the PDP is therefore unclear. It does not make sense that the permitted land use activities in Rule 26.1.1 are subject to compliance with the</p>	Accept in part	25.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
				Land Use Building Rules (26.3). Construction of buildings and structures should already be covered by the Permitted Activity Rules in 26.1.1.		
657.18	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Support	Retain the Discretionary Activity Status of Rule 26.3.1 D1 Motorsport and recreational facilities - Precinct A.	The discretionary activity status in Rule (D1) is supported.	Accept in part	25.1
657.19	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Support	Retain Rule 26.3.2 P1 Height - All Precincts as notified.	The maximum permitted height that is proposed in Rule 26.3.2 P1 is supported and should be retained as proposed.	Accept	26.1
FS1279.5	Reid Investment Trust	Opposed	<i>Disallowed.</i>	<i>RIT consider the permitted building height of 10m as per the notified plan to be too restrictive and inconsistent with the building typologies enabled within the zone, particularly Sub-Precinct E. RIT submit that a 15m building height is more practical for the Hampton Downs Motorsport Park and Recreation zone and have previously made a submission to this effect.</i>	Reject	26.1
657.20	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Amend	Amend Rule 26.3.2 P2 Height - All Precincts, as follows: P2 (a) A building or structure in: (i) Precinct B (<u>Business and Industrial Area</u>) (Industrial and Business Precinct) (ii) Precinct C (<u>Travelers Accommodation and Motor Sport and Recreation Area</u>) Minor Race Track Area (iii) Precinct D (Residential Apartments) (iv) Precinct E (<u>Industrial Units</u>) as identified on the planning maps. <i>Shall not exceed 10m in height</i>	The maximum permitted heights that are proposed in Rule 26.3.2(P2) are supported. Minor amendments are proposed to the names of each Precinct and the formatting in Rule (P2) to ensure consistency with the rest of the Chapter.	Accept in part	26.1
657.21	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Support/Amend	Retain the Restricted Discretionary Activity Status in Rule 26.3.2 (RD1) Height - all precincts and the limits of discretion as notified, except for the	The Restricted Discretionary Activity status in Rule 26.3.2 (RD1) is supported. The Hampton Downs Motorsport Park site	Accept	26.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>amendments outlined below.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend Rule 26.3.2 RD1(b)(iii) to refer to the "privacy at adjoining zone boundaries" (as opposed to "privacy at adjoining properties").</p>	<p>is a large site that comprises several adjacent certificates of title. The limits of discretion should only apply to the external zone boundaries, not the internal boundaries within the site.</p>		
FS1279.6	Reid Investment Trust	Support	<i>Allowed.</i>	<i>RIT support a restricted discretionary activity status for buildings exceeding the permitted height limit, including the changes to the matters of discretion suggested by Hampton Downs Motorsport Park.</i>	Accept	26.1
783.2	Reid Investment Trust	Amend	<p>Amend Rule 26.3.2 P2 (a) (iv) Height - All Precincts as follows:</p> <p><i>(a) A building or structure in:</i></p> <p>...</p> <p><i>(iv) Precinct E (Industrial Units) as identified on the planning maps shall not exceed 15.10m in height.</i></p> <p>AND</p> <p>Any consequential amendments or further relief to give effect to the matters raised in the submission.</p>	<p>The building height of 10m is considered too restrictive for industrial units. In the Industrial Zone, the permitted building height is 15m. There are economic benefits of aligning the permitted building height within the Hampton Downs Motorport and Recreation zone with the Industrial Zone. The interface between the zone and Rural Zone will be managed by building setback and daylight admission standards.</p>	Reject	26.1
FS1194.2	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	<i>Disallow</i>	<i>Oppose the amendments sought to the maximum permitted height requirement insofar as they relate to the Reid Investment Site. The Rural zoning (and Rural Height Rule) should be retained for the Reid Investment Site.</i>	Accept	26.1
657.22	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Support	Retain Rule 26.3.3 P1 Daylight admission - All Precincts as notified.	<p>Rule 26.3.3 (P1) is supported insofar as the daylight admission rule applies to the zone boundary. The proposed daylight admission Rule applies to the zone boundary (rather than the individual title boundaries). This approach is supported and should be retained.</p>	Accept	27.1
FS1279.7	Reid Investment Trust	Oppose	<i>Disallowed.</i>	<i>Contrary to submission point 657.22, RIT</i>	Reject	27.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
				<i>submit that Rule 26.3.3(1) should be amended as follows: Where the zone boundary adjoins a public road, the standard applies from the farthest boundary of that road</i>		
657.23	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Support/Amend	<p>Retain the Restricted Discretionary Activity Status in Rule 26.3.3 RDI Daylight admission - all precincts and the limits of discretion, except the amendments outlined below.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend Rule 26.3.3 RDI (b) Daylight admission - all precincts as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Correct the existing formatting error (whereby items (c) – (g) should be renumbered as (i) – (v); and • Replace "Admission of daylight and sunlight to adjoining sites" with "Admission of daylight and sunlight to adjoining zone boundaries"; and <p>Replace "Privacy of adjoining properties" with "Privacy on the adjoining zone boundaries".</p>	<p>The Restricted Discretionary Activity Status in Rule 26.3.3 (RDI) is supported. Clarification is sought with respect to the different terms used in Council's exercise of discretion. The limits of discretion refer to 'adjoining sites' and 'adjoining properties'. It is unclear why Council have differentiated between 'adjoining properties' in the daylight admission rule. The use of differing terms within Chapter 26 is opposed. The 'zone boundary' is considered more appropriate for Council's limits of discretion. The Hampton Downs Motorsport site is a large site that comprises of several adjacent certificates of title. The limits of discretion should only apply to the external zone boundaries, not the internal boundaries within the site.</p>	Accept in part	27.1
FS1279.8	Reid Investment Trust	Support	Allowed.	<i>RIT support the restricted discretionary activity status for infringements of the daylight admission standard, including the changes suggested by Hampton Downs Motorsport Park.</i>	Accept in part	27.1
783.3	Reid Investment Trust	Amend	<p>Amend Rule 26.3.3 PI Daylight admission - All precincts as follows:</p> <p><i>A building in all precincts identified on the planning maps must not protrude through a height control plane rising at an angle of 37 degrees commencing at an elevation of 2.5m above ground level at every point along the Motorsport and Recreation Zone boundary. <u>Where the zone boundary adjoins a public road, the standard applies from the farthest boundary of that road.</u></i></p>	Where the Motorsport and Recreation Zone boundary abuts a road corridor, daylight admission to adjoining sites is not being compromised. The control can be taken from the farthest boundary of the road without creating potential effects on adjoining sites.	Reject	27.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			AND Any consequential amendments or further relief to give effect to the matters raised in the submission.			
FS1194.3	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Disallow	Oppose the amendments sought to the daylight admission rule insofar as they relate to the Reid Investment Site. The Rural zoning (and Rural daylight admission Rule) should be retained for the Reid Investment site. The HIRB should not be taken from the opposite side of a public road. This has the potential to result in large visually obtrusive buildings close to the public road, and could adversely impact on the amenity and safety of the entrance to HDMP and Hampton Downs Road.	Accept	27.1
657.24	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Support/Amend	Retain the 45% site coverage for all Precincts in Rule 26.3.4 Site coverage. AND Amend the heading of Rule 26.3.4 Site coverage so that the Rule applies to All Precincts AND Amend Rule 26.3.4 Site coverage P1 - P5 by replacing those with a single Rule P1 that applies to all Precinct Areas: <i>P1 The total site coverage of any buildings or structures shall not exceed 45% within each Precinct.</i>	The 45% site coverage that is proposed as a permitted activity in Rules (P1)-(P5) is supported. Amendments are proposed to the existing formatting to simplify the Rules. 45% site coverage is proposed in each Precinct Area. A single site coverage rule is therefore proposed in each Precinct Area.	Accept in part	28.1
FS1279.9	Reid Investment Trust	Oppose	Disallowed.	RIT consider the 45% maximum site coverage for all precincts too restrictive, and previously submitted that this should be increased to 60%, to make reasonable and efficient use of sites. RIT oppose the suggested changes to the wording of Rule 26.3.4 P1, as these imply that the site coverage is to be calculated for each precinct as a whole, rather than site by site. A site by site approach to calculating site coverage is considered more practical.	Accept	28.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
783.4	Reid Investment Trust	Neutral/Amend	Delete Rule 26.3.4 P5 Site Coverage; OR Amend Rule 26.3.4 P5 Site Coverage as follows: A building or structure in Precinct E (Industrial Units) identified on the planning maps shall not exceed 60% site coverage of any site area AND Any consequential amendments or further relief to give effect to the matters raised in the submission.	Building coverage of 45% is too onerous for industrial land use activities. The rule should be relaxed to 60% to allow for greater design flexibility or deleted to align with the Industrial Zone rules	Reject	28.1
FS1194.4	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Disallow	Oppose the amendment sought to the maximum site coverage rule insofar as they relate to the Reid Investment Site. The Rural zoning (and Rural site coverage Rule) should be retained for the Reid Investment Site. The amendments sought to the site coverage rule are inconsistent with the HD submission and the existing and consented site coverage requirements for the HDMP site.	Accept	28.1
657.25	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Support	Retain Rule 26.3.5 Building setbacks - All precincts as notified.	The building setbacks in Rule 26.3.5 are supported insofar as they only refer to the boundaries that are adjacent to a public road or another zone. No internal setback requirements are stated for the individual title boundaries that collectively make up the Motor sport and Recreation zone. The proposal to only apply a building setback requirement at the external zone boundaries is supported. There should be no internal setbacks within the Motorsport Park site.	Accept	29.1
FS1279.10	Reid Investment Trust	Oppose	Disallowed.	Hampton Downs Motorsport Park submit that Rule 26.3.5 (Building setbacks) should be retained as notified. RIT disagree with this, and propose that Rule 26.3.5 P1 (a)(iii) be amended as follows: (iii) 525m from the boundary of another zone, except roads. This clarifies that the standard does not apply to road boundaries and introduces a more lenient, sensible building setback at the zone boundary.	Reject	29.1
742.173	Kim Harris-Cottle - NZTA	Support	Retain Rule 26.3.5 P1 Building setbacks – All precincts as notified; AND	The submitter supports a 25m setback from the Waikato Expressway.	Accept	29.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			Retain Rule 26.3.5 D1 Building setbacks – All precincts as notified.			
FS1194.18	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Support	Allow	Support the retention of Rule 26.3.5 PI and DI as notified.	Accept	29.1
783.10	Reid Investment Trust	Amend	<p>Amend Rule 26.3.5 PI (a) (iii) Building Setback as follows:</p> <p><i>(a) A building or structure in all precincts identified on the planning maps must be set back at least...</i></p> <p>AND</p> <p>Any consequential amendments or further relief to give effect to the matters raised in the submission.</p> <p><i>(iii) 25m from the boundary of another zone, except roads.</i></p>	The addition seeks to clarify that the building setback standard does not apply along road boundaries. This increases design flexibility and allows buildings to address the street whilst maintaining the amenity of adjoining rural zoned sites.	Reject	29.1
657.26	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 26.3.6 Size and scale of activities - Precinct B in its entirety.	The restrictions regarding the size and scale of activities within Precinct B are opposed in their entirety. The bulk and location of business and industrial development within Precinct B is already covered by the existing resource consents. Any duplication of the existing resource consents in the zone chapter is opposed.	Accept in part	30.1
378.55	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Support/Amend	<p>Retain Rule 26.4 Subdivision, as subdivision is a discretionary activity, except for the amendments sought below</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend Rule 26.4 Subdivision, as follows:</p> <p><i>(x) Every allotment is provided with water supply and complies with the requirements of Chapter 14: Infrastructure and Energy;</i></p> <p>AND</p>	Fire and Emergency New Zealand require proposed lots to be connected to public-reticulated water supply or water supply sufficient for firefighting purposes. Subdivision that does not comply is a Non-complying Activity. The changes sought promotes consistency across all zones in the District Plan.	Reject	31.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			Amend the Proposed District Plan to make further or consequential amendments as necessary to address the matters raised in the submission			
FS1035.162	Pareoranga Te Kata	Support	Obtain statement of performance expectation (SPE) to allow submission to be accepted.	Fire safety and fire prevention to undertake training activities for fire fighters within the region.	Reject	31.1
657.27	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Amend	Amend the Subdivision Provisions in Rule 26.4 Subdivision so that subdivision is a restricted discretionary activity.	The Discretionary Activity status for subdivision within the Hampton Downs Motor Sport and Recreation Zone is opposed. The activity status should be restricted discretionary.	Reject	31.1
FS1279.11	Reid Investment Trust	Support	Allowed.	RIT supports a restricted discretionary activity status for subdivisions, as suggested by Hampton Downs Motorsport Park.	Reject	31.1
657.28	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Amend	Amend the Non-Complying Activity status in Rule 26.4 NCI Subdivision to be a discretionary activity.	The non-complying activity status should be discretionary.	Reject	31.1
FS1279.12	Reid Investment Trust	Support	Allowed.	RIT support a discretionary activity status for subdivisions not complying with the standards, as suggested by Hampton Downs Motorsport Park.	Reject	31.1
657.60	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Oppose	Delete the outdated plans from Appendix 12 Motorsport and Recreation. AND Retain the noise contour plan in Appendix 12 Motorsport and Recreation	A lot of the plans in Appendix 12 are outdated and require deleting or replacing with updated plans. Several of these plans are outdated and require deleting or replacing with updated plans. The inclusion of the noise contour plan is supported. The identification of precinct areas on the planning maps negates the requirement to include the outdated Concept Plan in the PDP. The old concept plan should either be updated or deleted.	Accept in part	32.1
FS1279.23	Reid Investment Trust	Support	Allowed.	RIT support the deletion of outdated plans and text in Appendix 12, as submitted by submission point 657.60.	Accept in part	32.1
783.11	Reid Investment Trust	Amend	Amend Attachments A, E and L within Appendix 12 Hampton Downs Motor Sport and Recreation to remove references and annotations of "car parking" from the property at Lot 6 DP 411257 Hampton Downs Road, Hampton Downs, including deleting the site from Area B and deleting the annotation of 233 spaces from the site.	These changes reflect that the site is no longer used for event car parking, and in anticipation of the site's inclusion into the Hampton Downs Motorsport and Recreation Zone, Precinct E.	Accept in part	32.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			AND Amend Rule 26.2.8 PI (a) Car parking access and Rooding as necessary as a consequential amendment. AND Any consequential amendments or further relief to give effect to the matters raised in the submission.			
FS1194.9	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	Support in part	<i>Allow the deletion of all references to car parking on the Reid Investment Site provided that this site retains its Rural zoning and does not form part of the Hampton Downs Motor Sport and Recreation Zone.</i>	<i>Support in part. The deletion of all car parking references with respect to the Reid Investment Site is supported insofar as the Reid Investment Site does not form part of the Hampton Downs Motorsport and Recreation Zone and should instead be zoned Rural.</i>	Accept in part	32.1
783.12	Reid Investment Trust	<i>Amend</i>	Delete Attachment M of Appendix 12 Hampton Downs Motor Sport and Recreation AND Amend Rule 14.12.5.7 Required parking spaces and loading bays as a consequential amendment. AND Any consequential amendments or further relief to give effect to the matters raised in the submission.	The need for car parking and loading bay standards specific to the Hampton Downs Motorsport and Recreation Zone, with the exemption of event parking appears superfluous and is not assessed in the section 32 report	Accept in part	32.1
657.32	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	<i>Support</i>	Retain the specific zone (Hampton Downs Motor Sport and Recreation Zone) for the Hampton Downs Motorsport Park, except for the amendments outlined elsewhere in the submission.	The identification of the Hampton Downs Motorsport Park on the Planning Maps is supported.	Accept	33.1
FS1279.14	Reid Investment Trust	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allowed.</i>	<i>RIT support the provision of a special zone for the Hampton Downs Motorsport Park.</i>	Accept	33.1
657.34	HD Land Limited and Hampton Downs (NZ) Limited	<i>Support</i>	Retain the five Precinct Areas (identified as Precincts A-E on the Planning Maps), except for the amendments outlined below. AND	The identification of five separate Precinct Areas is supported. Amendments are sought to the description/name of each precinct. The Precinct boundaries shown on planning maps correspond with existing (and consented) land use activities and development at Hampton Downs	Accept in part	33.1

Submission point	Submitter	Support Oppose	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			Amend the descriptions/names of the Precinct Areas.	Motorsport Park.		
FS1279.16	Reid Investment Trust	Support in Part	Allowed with changes.	RIT support the identification of Precincts A-E, however, suggest that Precinct E be extended to incorporate Lot 6 DP411257.	Accept in part	33.1