

IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT

AUCKLAND REGISTRY

IN THE MATTER of the Resource Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER of appeals under Clause 14(1) of the First
Schedule of the Act in relation to the
Proposed Waikato District Plan

BETWEEN **John Rowe**
Appellant

AND **Waikato District Council**
Respondent

NOTICE OF WISH TO BE

PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO

SECTION 274 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

To: The Registrar
Environment Court
Auckland

1. The Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association (**PVGA**) wishes to be a party pursuant to section 274 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (“**RMA**”) to the following proceedings:

(a) **John Rowe v Waikato District Council**
(ENV-2022-AKL-000064) being an appeal against decisions of the Waikato District Council on the Proposed Waikato District Plan.

2. PVGA has an interest in these proceedings that is greater than the general public as it represents interest groups in the community that are likely to be affected by the proposed relief sought by the Appellant.

3. PVGA is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the RMA.

4. PVGA is interested in part of the proceedings.

5. The parts of the proceedings PVGA is interested in, including the particular issues and whether PVGA supports, opposes or conditionally opposes the relief sought are set out in the attached table.

6. PVGA agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Kelly Deihl

Environmental Policy Consultant

Acting on behalf of Kylie Faulkner, President, PVGA

22 March 2022

Address for service:

Pukekohe Vegetable Growers Association

PO Box 462

Pukekohe 2340

Auckland, NZ

Phone: Kelly 0274111035 or Kylie 021757000

Email: Kelly Deihl <Kelly@emsgroup.co.nz> and Kylie Faulkner <Kylie.Faulkner@Leaderbrand.co.nz>

Provision or decision appealed by Appellant	Support / Oppose	Reason
22.3.7.1 P1(iii) - now GRUZ-S12(1)(a) Building Setbacks - All Boundaries	Oppose	Building setbacks are a key tool to manage potential reverse sensitivity effects – this is particularly the case for habitable buildings.
22.3.7.1 P2(iii) - now GRUZ-S12(1)(b) Building Setbacks - All Boundaries	Support in part	For non-habitable buildings and structures lesser setbacks may provide the advantage of enabling more efficient use of land particularly from a rural production perspective - .g. rural production buildings. HortNZ has an appeal related to setbacks for artificial crop protection structures.
22.3.7.1 P3(iii) - now GRUZ-S12(1)(d) Building Setbacks - All Boundaries	Oppose	Building setbacks are a key tool to manage potential reverse sensitivity effects – this is particularly the case for habitable buildings
22.3.7.1 P4(iii) - now GRUZ-S12(1)(e) Building Setbacks - All Boundaries	Support in part	For non-habitable buildings and structures lesser setbacks may provide the advantage of enabling more efficient use of land particularly from a rural production perspective - e.g. rural production buildings. HortNZ has an appeal related to setbacks for artificial crop protection structures.
Transferable Rural Lot Subdivision 22.4.1.1 PR4(a)	Support	Support providing for a Transferable Rural Lot mechanism, as a method for protection of high quality versatile soils.