# **Discharge Location: Existing Outfall**

### Description

Presently, the Raglan WWTP consent allows discharges up to 2,600m<sup>3</sup> of treated wastewater daily into the Whaingaroa harbour on outgoing tides. The existing treated wastewater outfall, which is simply an open-ended pipe without a diffuser (see figure below), was constructed in the late 1970s, and has remained in place since. Thus, it is beyond its useful life and requires replacement. Any future upgrade to the existing outfall would be fitted with a diffuser or duck-bill type arrangement to improve initial mixing of the discharged treated wastewater.



#### Location

The treated wastewater enters the Whaingaroa Harbour at a location close to the harbour mouth, marked on the aerial image below.



Treatment Option	Description
Existing ponds & UV	This is the existing process at Raglan WWTP. Wastewater is received at the inlet works, from where w ponds with aquamats installed. The pond wastewater discharges into a day pond for storage prior to di From the day pond treated wastewater is pumped via an inline UV disinfection system to a discharge p Whāingaroa (Raglan) Harbour.
Existing ponds & UV incl TSS removal	Additional TSS removal can be achieved via tertiary treatment using a membrane. Wastewater flows the allowing only smaller particles to pass through. Some pathogens are removed through the membrane disinfection would provide additional pathogen removal.
Convert pond to activated sludge & UV	Converting one or more of the current ponds to an activated sludge process will target the TSS, BOD a parameters. Total nitrogen and phosphorus can also be targeted if required. A new clarifier would nee
New separate activated sludge plant & UV	Construction of a new purpose-built activated sludge plant at the existing location, which is a more resione of the existing ponds to the activated sludge process. A new clarifier would need to be installed.
MBR & UV	A membrane bioreactor is an activated sludge process which uses membranes instead of a clarifier to wastewater. Nitrogen and phosphorus can be removed from this process.
Existing ponds + fixed film process with clarification + UV	Utilising the same bacteria as activated sludge, a fixed film process (e.g. submerged aerated filter, trick material (biofilm) attached to media in a tank to treat the wastewater. A clarification step is also require slough off the media. Fixed film processes could be used with the existing ponds, and will target BOD parameters.



wastewater is piped to aerated discharge on the outgoing tide. point near the mouth of the

through membrane modules, e by a filtration process, whilst UV

and ammoniacal nitrogen ed to be installed. silient option than conversion of

o separate solids from the treated

ckling filter) uses biological ired to separate the solids that D and ammoniacal nitrogen

## **Options Assessment Criteria**

Criteria	Issue/Topic	Description/Explanation
Public Health	Microbiological quality of treated	Risk of public exposure to waterborne pathogens through:
	wastewater	- Direct contact with the conveyance or treatment process
		- Direct contact with the receiving environment, for example through contact recreation
		- Indirect exposure, through food gathering (such as shellfish, fish, watercress, etc) and grou
	Health effects from irrigation	Risk of public exposure to pathogens from irrigation.
	Treated wastewater re-use	Risk of contamination from treated water for non-potable re-use.
Environment	Water quality	Potential effects on freshwater (surface and ground) and coastal/marine receiving environme
	Aquatic ecology	Potential effects on aquatic ecosystems
	Terrestrial ecology	Potential effects on terrestrial ecosystems and soils
	Coastal environment and resources	Potential effects on significant coastal and marine areas, existing harbour and coastal proces
		harbour and coastal marine area.
Cultural	Mauri	Potential effects on mauri of land, water and air
	Kai moana	Potential effects on kai moana and the kaitiaki management of customary fishing
	Cultural values	Potential effects on the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions with their ancest
		other taonga
	Health and Wellbeing	Potential effects on the ability of the land, sea and air to support wairua in order to maintain h
Social and	Amenity value and aesthetics	Potential effects on the natural and built environment (e.g. visual, odour, noise)
community	Urban development	Extent to which the option enables residential and commercial development within the project
	Recreation	Extent to which the project enhances or detracts from local recreational activities and opport
	Food gathering	Extent to which the project enhances or detracts from people's ability to collect food within the
	Access to the coast	Extent to which an option effects access to the coastal marine area.
	Re-use potential of option	Extent that treatment by-products can be utilised beneficially now and into the future (i.e. irrig
Sustainability	Carbon footprint	Potential embodied and operational carbon footprint
Constructability	Geology, soil, groundwater conditions	Option suited to local environmental conditions
	Land availability, accessibility	Adequate and secure land must be available for the required infrastructure, timescales that f
	Existing infrastructure	Potential to maximise use of existing infrastructure that has a valuable remaining economic li
		plants, pumps, conveyance pipes and existing sites.
Technology	Reliable, proven and robust technology	To be sustainable, an option should be based on proven technology and have adequate redu
		provide back-up in case of failure)
	Adaptable and flexible	Due to the uncertainty associated with future growth, a feasible option must be able to adapt
		increased flows and loads, discharge quality requirements, input requirements, and energy a
	Able to be staged	The extent to which an option could be staged (e.g. through modularised components).
	Operational and engineering resilience	The option must be sufficiently resilient to natural hazards and operational failure.
Financial	Capital cost	Is the cost of the project appropriate for the project area and the population served?
Implications	Operating and maintenance cost	Can the capital infrastructure be maintained and operated in a cost-effective manner?
	Whole of life cost	How do the whole of life costs pf the various options compare?
	Financial risk	Is the option affordable even if growth does not occur as predicted?
Opportunities	Opportunity for resource recovery	The provision of beneficial reuse of treated wastewater (i.e. with emphasis on food production
and Benefits		The potential for beneficial reuse of biosolids. (i.e. with emphasis on food production)
Statutory	Consistency of the option with National	Includes consistency with the New Zealand National Coastal Policy Statement (NZCPS), National Coastal Policy St
Considerations	Policy Statements (NPS)	Management (NPS-FM) and any other relevant NPS
	Consistency of the option with any other	Includes consistency with the Reserves Act, and any other relevant Act
	relevant legislation outside of the	
	Resource Management Act	

#### 4/06/2020

oundwater use.

nents

cesses, and physical footprint within the

estral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and

health and wellbeing for Maori

ected timeframe ortunities the area

rigation/nutrients for food production)

t fit within project timing c life, e.g. power supply, treatment

edundancy (spare operational capacity to

apt to changing conditions such as y availability.

tion)

National Policy Statement for Freshwater

## **Options Assessment**

Transfor						the criteria, Gree			0	Otate to a	0	0
Treatment Process Option	Public Health	Environment	Cultural	Social & Community	Sustainability	Constructability	Technology	Financial Implications	Opportunities and Benefits	Statutory Considerations	Comments	Carry forward to shor list?
Existing ponds & UV	Quantitative Microbial Risk Assessment (QMRA) for existing discharge shows human health effects for recreational water users and consumers of uncooked shellfish are generally low.	Discharge on outgoing tide minimises adverse environmental effects on the Whāingaroa Harbour. Hydrodynamic modelling has established a zone of reasonable mixing of 150m, outside which adverse effects on water quality are predicted to be negligible.	Hapū have reiterated opposition to marine options and support for re-use options.	Existing discharge located close to shore, knowledge of discharge, visible discharge.	Low energy treatment and conveyance system, very low additional embodied carbon	Only new infrastructure is replacement of existing outfall.	Reliable and proven technology.	Low cost solution.	Limited opportunities for beneficial reuse of treated wastewater. Some opportunity for beneficial reuse of biosolids.	Policy 23(2)(b)(ii) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (NZCPS) has relevance -see notes below. The existing treated wastewater discharge is of a relatively high quality and adverse effects on ecosystems and habitats are likely to be avoided.	Community opposition to existing discharge quality and location.	No
Existing ponds & UV Incl TSS removal	Membrane treatment will provide additional pathogen removal (multi-barrier approach). Human health effects will be lower than existing discharge.	Improved treatment quality compared to existing	Hapū have reiterated opposition to marine options and support for re-use options.	Existing discharge located close to shore, knowledge of discharge. Offset by improved discharge quality.	Low energy treatment and conveyance system. Additional embodied and operational carbon associated with membrane treatment.	Replacement of existing outfall and membrane process can be readily constructed.	Reliable and proven technology.	Relatively low cost solution.	Membrane treatment will produce a treated wastewater quality suitable for non-potable reuse.	Policy 23(2)(b)(ii) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (NZCPS) has relevance -see notes below. The upgraded existing treated wastewater discharge will be of a relatively high quality and	A membrane upgrade will provide additional pathogen and TSS removal with an overall improvement in treated wastewater quality delivered at an affordable cost.	YES

Treatment options for this discharge location are assessed based on the above criteria in the following table.

## FINAL

	Key: Red –	Largely fails to r	meet the crite	ria, Amber - N	larginally meets	s the criteria, Gree	en - Meets criter	ia well				
Treatment Process Option	Public Health	Environment	Cultural	Social & Community	Sustainability	Constructability		Financial Implications	Opportunities and Benefits	Statutory Considerations	Comments	Carry forward to short list?
										adverse effects on ecosystems and habitats are likely to be avoided.		
Convert pond to activated sludge & UV	Provides a similar quality discharge than existing process (in terms of pathogens).	Improved treatment quality compared to existing	Hapū have reiterated opposition to marine options and support for re-use options.	Existing discharge located close to shore, knowledge of discharge. Offset by improved discharge quality.	Moderate energy requirements associated with activated sludge treatment process. Low embodied carbon as existing assets reused.	Replacement of existing outfall and conversion to activated sludge can be readily constructed.	Need to re- line existing ponds. Less resilient than new concrete tanks.	Moderate CAPEX and OPEX cost	Activated sludge and UV will produce a treated wastewater quality suitable for non-potable reuse. Possibly some form of tertiary filtration may be required.	Policy 23(2)(b)(ii) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (NZCPS) has relevance -see notes below. The upgraded existing treated wastewater discharge will be of a relatively high quality and adverse effects on ecosystems and habitats are likely to be avoided.	Additional nutrient removal provided by activated sludge process not likely to be required from an environmental effects perspective. Higher-cost than membrane upgrade.	No
New separate activated sludge plant & UV	Provides a similar quality discharge than existing process (in terms of pathogens).	Improved treatment quality compared to existing	Hapū have reiterated opposition to marine options and support for re-use options.	Existing discharge located close to shore, knowledge of discharge. Offset by improved discharge quality	Moderate energy requirements associated with activated sludge treatment process. Moderate embodied carbon as new treatment	Replacement of existing outfall and new activated sludge process can be constructed. Further site investigations needed to determine site suitability for new tanks.	Reliable and proven technology.	High CAPEX & OPEX cost	Activated sludge and UV will produce a treated wastewater quality suitable for non-potable reuse. Possibly some form of tertiary	Policy 23(2)(b)(ii) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (NZCPS) has relevance -see notes below. The upgraded existing treated wastewater	Additional nutrient removal provided by activated sludge process not likely to be required from an environmental effects perspective. Higher-cost	No

## FINAL

	Key: Red -	Largely fails to	meet the crite	ria, Amber - N	larginally meets	s the criteria, Gree	en - Meets criter	ria well				
Treatment Process Option	Public Health	Environment	Cultural	Social & Community	Sustainability	Constructability	Technology	Financial Implications	Opportunities and Benefits	Statutory Considerations	Comments	Carry forward to short list?
					assets required.				filtration may be required.	discharge will be of a relatively high quality and adverse effects on ecosystems and habitats are likely to be avoided.	than membrane upgrade.	
MBR & UV	MBR and UV will provide additional pathogen removal (multi-barrier approach). Human health effects will be lower than the existing discharge.	Improved treatment quality compared to existing	Hapū have reiterated opposition to marine options and support for re-use options.	Existing discharge located close to shore, knowledge of discharge. Offset by improved discharge quality	Moderate energy requirements associated with MBR treatment process. Moderate embodied carbon as new treatment assets required.	Replacement of existing outfall and new MBR process can be constructed. Further site investigations needed to determine site suitability for new tanks.	Reliable and proven technology.	High CAPEX & OPEX cost	Very-high quality treated wastewater suitable for non-potable reuse.	Policy 23(2)(b)(ii) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (NZCPS) has relevance -see notes below. The upgraded existing treated wastewater discharge will be of a relatively high quality and adverse effects on ecosystems and habitats are likely to be avoided.	Additional nutrient removal provided by MBR process not likely to be required from an environmental effects perspective. Higher-cost than membrane only upgrade.	No
Fixed media process & UV	Provides a similar quality discharge than existing process (in terms of pathogens).	Improved treatment quality compared to existing	Hapū have reiterated opposition to marine options and support for	Existing discharge located close to shore, knowledge of discharge. Offset by	Relatively low carbon footprint	Replacement of existing outfall and new fixed media process can be constructed. Further site investigations needed to	Reliable and proven technology. Performance can be temperature dependent.	Moderate CAPEX and OPEX cost option	Fixed media and UV will produce a treated wastewater quality suitable for non-potable reuse.	Policy 23(2)(b)(ii) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (NZCPS) has relevance	Provides only marginally better treated wastewater standard than existing process, less pathogen removal when	No

#### FINAL

Treatment Process Option	Public Health	Environment	Cultural	Social & Community	Sustainability	the criteria, Gree Constructability	Financial Implications	Opportunities and Benefits	Statutory Considerations	Comments	Carry forward to short list?
			re-use options.	improved discharge quality		determine site suitability for new tanks.		Possibly some form of tertiary filtration may be required.	-see notes below. The upgraded existing treated wastewater discharge will be of a relatively high quality and adverse effects on ecosystems and habitats are likely to be avoided.	compared to membrane upgrade.	

In reference to Policy 23(2)(b)(ii) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (NZCPS), a clear understanding from Raglan tangata whenua after engagement is that the present trea wastewater marine discharge is offensive to their values, with a substantial adverse effect resulting. Any alternative discharge method that enables satisfactory whenua contact and re-use potential, should have in principle support.