

MINUTES for a meeting of the Strategy & Finance Committee of the Waikato District Council held in the Council Chambers, District Office, 15 Galileo Street, Ngaruawahia on **TUESDAY, 11 FEBRUARY 2020** commencing at **9.30am** to hear, consider and make decisions on the submissions received on the Growth & Economic Development Strategy..

Present:

Cr A Bech (Chairperson)
His Worship the Mayor Mr AM Sanson
Cr JA Church
Cr CA Eyre
Cr S Henderson
Cr SD Lynch
Cr RC McGuire
Cr FM McInally
Cr EM Patterson
Cr LR Thomson
Cr CT Woolerton [*from 9.33am*]

Attending:

Mr C Morgan (General Manager Community Growth)
Mr J Ebenhoh (Planning and Policy Manager)
Ms D Tracey (Strategic Planning Team Leader)
Mr M Davey (Community Growth Analytics Manager)
Ms T Singh-Sandhu (Strategic Planner)
Ms B Connolly (Policy Planner Community Development)
Mrs L Wainwright (Committee Secretary)
Ms G Brady (Democracy Support Contractor)

Submitters (as per Schedule of Submitters attached as [Appendix I](#))

APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Resolved: (Crs Patterson/Church)

THAT an apology be received from **Crs Gibb, Sedgwick and Smith;**

AND THAT an apology for lateness be received from **Cr Woolerton.**

CARRIED

S&F2002/09

CONFIRMATION OF STATUS OF AGENDA ITEMS

Resolved: (Crs Thomson/Henderson)

THAT the agenda for a meeting of the Strategy & Finance Committee held on 11 February 2020 be confirmed and all items therein be considered in open meeting;

AND THAT the report be received.

CARRIED

S&F2002/10

Cr. Woolerton joined the meeting at 9.33am following the conclusion of the above item.

DISCLOSURES OF INTEREST

There were no disclosures of interest.

REPORT

Draft Waikato 2070 – Waikato District Council Growth & Economic Development Strategy Hearing Report

Agenda Item 4.1

The report was received and the Chairperson summarised the procedure for the hearings.

The following submissions were presented to the Committee, and submitters responded to questions from the Committee:

Chris Dawson on behalf of Shand Properties (Submission Number 2)

- Supported future growth areas identified in Huntly & Ohinewai Development Plan, specifically the residential land south of East Mine Road and the identification of the East Mine Business Park.
- Supported the intent of Focus area 03.3 but considers that point #6 should refer to “*activity zones identified in Waikato 2070*” as opposed to industrial zones.
- Submitter’s view was that Waikato 2070 should not be confused with zoning processes taking place under the Proposed District Plan process.

Miffy Foley on behalf of Waikato Regional Council (Submission Number 8)

- Supportive of the strategy and its inclusion of staging and indicative timing.
- Requested inclusion of demographic information and further information on supply/demand for housing.
- Stated that some of the growth areas identified were at odds with the direction in the Regional Policy Statement and that the number of areas identified for growth appears to be too many.
- Questioned why Ngaruawahia was not included.

Nick Gibbons on behalf of NZTA (Submission Number 3)

- Welcomed the strategy overall.
- Warned against significant costs likely to be exacerbated for affordability in the District. Believed the Council should review affordability, particularly with large areas of additional commercial and industrial land.
- Proposed industrial and commercial land in Huntly and Ohinewai - inconsistent with type of co-ordinated land use and transport planning that GPS, RPS and Transport Agency sought to deliver.
- The draft Strategy had no clear evidence for proposed land use. Rationale behind increasing density and how this would happen was unclear.
- Limited information to support strategy and not evidence-based. Feasibility of growth locations in question. Strong concerns in relation to moving away from the National Policy Statements. Purpose of Strategy in relation to statutory and non-statutory plans and strategies was unclear. Keen to work with the Council to focus on market research and impacts of infrastructure.

Shaun Jackson (Chairperson of Onewhero-Tuakau Community Board), (Submission Number 80)

General support, as a representative of the Onewhero-Tuakau Community Board and in personal capacity.

- Requested focus on partnership and ensure strategy was more than an urban development plan.
- Unsure about Council's proposed land usage and its effect.
- Concerned about time frame and evidence supporting proposed timing within the draft Strategy.
- Referred to page 24 in the Tuakau Development Plan - questioned the outcome as a developer.
- Noted no rail station in Tuakau area and questioned decision in terms of infrastructure growth and development ideas.

Kathryn Drew on behalf of Northgate Industrial Park and Northgate Development Ltd - (Submission Number 30)

- Supported Section 4.12 of the Strategy - logical to expand into land adjoining existing industrial land. Supported future opportunities for industrial land use.
- Uptake of developable land had potential to outstrip supply if suitable land was not earmarked for future industrial development. Infrastructure needed to be forward-funded.
- Northgate and adjoining landowner had identified opportunity to expand industrial zoning in adjoining farmland to the south. Council was heavily invested in Horotiu, which made good financial sense.
- Increased need for larger industrial zone sites.

The Hearings adjourned from 10.39am and resumed at 10.57am.

Brian Croad & Manaaki Nepia on behalf of Waikato Tainui & Tainui Group Holdings (Submission Number 26)

- Fully supported development of power station site.
- Disappointed that Meremere potential had not been reflected in the Strategy.
- Challenges in environmental issues - needed to be investigated for future, long term use.
- Need to recognise the cultural aspects, opportunities and economics that could come out of the Cultural Hub. Wanted advantages to be considered as part of the Economic Growth Strategy.
- Critical statutory documents were long and convoluted that could hinder development opportunities.

Simon Upton & Bhaady Miller (Submission Number 24)

- Welcomed the Strategy, however believed it failed to provide hard evidence and lacked coherence.
- No reference to deforestation. Written exclusively to accommodate urban expansion. More modest proposed outcomes would improve the Strategy.
- Endorsed section 2.8. Agree with Hamilton City Council's submission in relation to a tailback commuter system.
- Real risk incremental expansion would eliminate the division between the towns and their limits. Desire to maintain rural settlements and lands. Strategy cannot mitigate around Hamilton urban sprawl.

- Concerned about timings of Strategy. Intensification first, expansion second should be model but instead the reverse was proposed.
- Wanted to move away from maps and zoning and instead integrate key landscape features such as land, mountains and rivers.
- Community vibrancy was essential.

Colin Botica on behalf of Pokeno Village Holdings (Submission Number 27)

- Supported proposed development of Eastern side of Pokeno. Expected market conditions would change in near future.
- Did not believe Pokeno should expand beyond its boundaries if it conflicts with the rural setting. Looking at residential and employment growth was critical to the continued success of Pokeno.
- Point 3.15A - waiting for full findings with more detailed information as it was in conflict with need for development.

Jean Tregidga (Submission Number 29)

- Main concern was about private ownership of 'significant natural areas' and to continue the land's historical legacy.
- Tourism - Northland had put high value on forestry tourism. Sustainable forest management was essential and yet believed the proposed strategy sought to "lock up her farm", removing it from the potential for growth or change of purpose.

Allen Fabrics Ltd (Submission Number 32)

Murray Allen:

- Supported planning strategy but requested that the outward growth should not affect lands, waters and wildlife. Pollution had increased with population growth. Needed to develop and preserve natural locations.
- Needed to concentrate on providing and protecting connections between zones. Shorter timeframe for zoning requested.
- Concerned there was a restriction of enjoyment of open recreational spaces. Tourism from new activities zones would provide a healthy environment and employment for Huntly and surrounding areas.

Charlie Young:

- Huntly not provided for and needed a refined growth strategy. In blueprint process, community identified issues not reflected in the strategy (e.g. an interchange from new expressway).
- The public needed to be included fully in the process. Infrastructure needed to allow for growth to happen within the three waters programme and maintain and support eco-diversity and be appropriately designated in the Strategy.
- Emphasised connectivity, within Huntly's new developments, for cycle and walkways. No well-defined recreational opportunities within the Strategy. Correlation between excellent recreation facilities and great towns should be incorporated.

David Whyte, Huntly Community Board (Submission Number 41)

- Supported Strategy in general.
- Supported greenfield industrial, residential and intensification of residential zones and spread of commercial zones.
- Huntly Interchange was missing as included in the blueprint. Kimihia Lake should be incorporated immediately.
- Challenges in Huntly were intergenerational with unemployment widespread. Concerned that population increase in Huntly West would intensify the problems of the area.
- Lake Puketerini was a greenfield space and the community did not support its development.
- Missed opportunity of Huntly South that could be industrial. Supported growth in theory but the cost needed to be accounted for.
- Affordability issue existed. Needed to look at social infrastructure, community benefits and impact of any development.

Ken Tremaine on behalf of Future Proof Implementation Committee (Submission Number 38)

- Supported the Strategy.
- Need for incorporation of community thinking.
- Role of some towns within plan were unclear.
- Submitter urged Council to stick to time constraints and not to waiver in deliberations and to focus on the big picture strategy while also being clear what Waikato community and district aspirations were.
- Suggested that a need existed to increase Council's profile in order to gain more opportunities for funding. Submitter was keen to do second phase of Future Proof Strategy to update and reflect policies within the Strategy.

Pervinder Kaur on behalf of Diamond Creek Farm Ltd (Submission Number 13)

- Strategy failed to recognise village identity, with retention of community sentiment within defined areas of development, similar to Waipa.
- Rural lifestyle was not addressed. Urged Council to consider rural districts as rural and support their value.
- Strategy did not wait for FutureProof findings. Strategy focused on dormitory towns and areas moving from small villages to towns.
- Growth models needed to be flexible and identify priorities.

Pervinder Kaur on behalf of Horotiu Properties Ltd (Submission Number 14)

- Supported general intent of the Strategy.
- Purpose of strategy and relationship to statutory and non-statutory documents and how growth area has been identified was unclear.
- Strategy failed to recognise various areas in the District that could support growth. Section 4.12 was restrictive.
- Essential that residential growth was matched with employment opportunities.
- No practical or productive use of the property, reinforced by the subdivision pattern for the land. Land was ideal and should be identified where residential activity could occur in 1-3 years' timeframe.

The Meeting adjourned from 12.25pm and resumed at 13.16pm.

John Lawson on behalf of Whaingaroa Environmental Defence (Submission Number 50)

- Supported strategy, particularly the inclusion of climate change.
- Suggested that Council staff met with the local communities at the beginning of consultations to get a clearer insight into communities' needs and wants.
- Needed to explore how to move away from high use of cars. Alternative modes of transport such as buses, bikes and electric/energy saving vehicles necessary in the Strategy. Suggested introducing a Green Star Rating.
- Concerned for areas of industrial growth that would detract from CBD area and culture.
- Welcomed social enterprise growth but would like Strategy to reflect growth of summer/holiday population as well as local population.
- Map details missed such as schools and community amenities.

John Lawson (Submission Number 73)

- NZTA cycle route could be developed and integrated into Strategy. Shared streets proposed for Bow Street and Cliff Street, Raglan. Blueprint not fully integrated into Strategy.
- Shortage of affordable housing needed to be reflected in the Strategy.
- Feedback from Raglan community was not taken into account.
- Summer figures for water sewerage, parking needs and amenities should be the figure for future planning and not the census, usual residential local population.
- Population planning was essential.

David Whyte, on behalf of Ohinewai Area Committee (Submission Number 42)

- Ohinewai was a thriving community with a domain, greenspace and school.
- 5,000m squared section not evident in report.
- Access was an issue as area was divided by the State Highway (bridge and rail), with associated safety issues.
- Area Committee did not support high density housing but did support mixed land use.

David Whyte (Submission Number 87)

- Frustrated with process and that Blueprints had seemingly been ignored in the Strategy. Urged Council to keep things simple.
- Consistency needed with the current LTP. Believed there was a disconnect between what to expect and reality (e.g. significant loss of recreational land).
- Some significant natural areas did not align with integrity of data.
- Currently no on-site analysis and only use of objective aerial photography when considering sites.

Liam McGrath on behalf of Mercer Community Committee (Submission Number 75)

- Supported Strategy in general.
- Significant impact on infrastructure and importance of retaining passive and active recreational areas.
- The Community Committee's vision was to uphold Mercer's history, identity and natural resources, its culture and relationship connections to the Waikato river by creating a vibrant sustainable hub.
- Further engagement with communities required to avoid social isolation. Identifying community sport capability could lead to better connected communities.
- Clear lack of recreational areas or playgrounds acknowledged in the Strategy. Future planning required to balance the industrial, commercial, and housing growth estimates.

Ken Alexanders on behalf of Kirriemuir Trustee Ltd (Submission Number 62)

- Supported the aim of the Strategy and its flexibility and choice for population.
- Requested that some of the locations be modified entirely for residential and industrial use.
- Indicated planned walking site trails along the river. Wanted the future form of Tuakau connected back with the Waikato river, not just rail and road.
- Proposed new area of residential zoning to extend the grove on map 41. If a strip of land was only developed, it would leave stranded land in the natural environment.
- Suggested Strategy should only reflect final decisions that had been based on public submissions.
- Submitter proposed mixed use land to the south to be used. Urged Council not to focus solely on the north of the District.
- Requested that the Strategy provided for visitor accommodation and amenities.

Rudy Van Dam (Submission Number 23)

- Better connection to the river required. Infrastructure needed new energy.
- The mountain, river and local heritage history and sites were part of a strong identity that needed to be fostered.
- Businesses were gone in Taupiri and revival was strongly needed.
- Needed a pedestrian and cycle bridge to extend over the railways line to connect and align all amenities. New road into Taupiri was the most important requirement - realign Taupiri with the new expressway junction and create a new entrance to the town.
- Residential zone land would be a mix of high, medium and low density.
- Strong future vision required with clever zoning, connected communities, native plantings along the riverside, cycle and walk paths, express train connecting with Hamilton and Auckland.

Cath Heppelthwaite on behalf of 2SEN Ltd and Tuakau Estates Ltd (Submission Number 39)

The submitter spoke to their handout, which was circulated to Committee members.

- Sought extension to the residential zone across the entirety of the sites.
- Expressed concern with inconsistencies with the District Plan. For example: Development pattern in Map 4.1 did not align with the zonings proposed within Waikato District Plan.

Opposed parts of Map 4.1, which did not align with zonings proposed within the District Plan.

- Rejected the Strategy's zoning. 30+ years development indication was inconsistent with District Plan which showed partial 'live' residential zoning. Inconsistent with relief requested by submitters which proposed full residential zoning.
- Urged Council to make the following changes to the Strategy:
 - a) Map 4.1 so that submitter's property was coloured orange and noted as a 1-3 year development timeframe;
 - b) Delete reference to proposed densities - believed development density should be determined by District Plan; and
 - c) Proposed that the Strategy's maps were modified to more clearly reflect purpose of colouring and how it related to urban and rural zones.

Ben Inger on behalf of Ohinewai Lands (Submission Number 46)

- Challenge was that the Strategy was not clear how the vision would be implemented.
- Submitter requested that plans did not preclude zoning in the future. It would have helped if a guide was provided for infrastructure for the Ohinewai area.
- There was a land amenity opportunity by linking to the other lakes in the area.
- Wanted a complete settlement to be made and not just a strip settlement. Schooling, retail, services and businesses should be there to provide for residential being *part* of industrial growth.
- Changes sought included identifying a residential activity zone in Ohinewai; review and increase the possible future population figure to account for planned growth of industrial and residential activities.

Ben Inger on behalf Rangatahi Ltd (Submission Number 47)

- Due to Raglan's growth – high demand for permanent and visitor housing and there were several challenges in housing supply in the area.
- Future Proof recognised Raglan as a location for growth, supported by data and anecdotal evidence for this growth. Constraints to future development within indicative urban limits existed so analysis of wider area within Raglan was important for future development growth planning.
- Investigations and infrastructure solutions needed to be informed by long term planning of growth locations and accurate population projections.
- Referred to waste treatment issues; constraints in spread through Raglan West; Maori freehold land with covenants.
- Anticipated area focus could be more clearly defined (on the map, marked in orange).
- Changes sought - identify residential activity zones in Rangitahi South and Raglan West, eventually onto Te Hutewai Road and Maungatawhiri Road.

Sir William Birch on behalf of Thorntree Orchards Limited (Submission Number 48)

- Submitter expressed criticism of process.
- Land was fragmented. If the Strategy-proposed area zoned for business and commercial use was implemented, it would be a step backwards and lead to competition for the central small town central.
- Wanted to see strategy that influences the character, shape and future function of the town - open spaces, connectivity, retail and public transport.

- Support for area circled in red on the Map 04.2 Pokeno Development Plan. Supported work/play areas for a sustainable community. Supported rezoning of industrial area to allow for this. Indicated that the location for proposed industrial zoning adjoined existing village zone that was already developed.
- The state highway was not a barrier to natural growth of Pokeno. Preference for currently developed land to be used for village development, complementing village to the south.
- Dominance of factory chimneys detracted from country views. Industrial development should be restricted to the southside of the town.
- Demand for housing in Pokeno was strong because of strategic location. Passive zoning would not achieve sustainable growth.
- Needed more certainty in zoning for landowners.

Tony McLaughlin on behalf of NZTE Operations (Submission Number 34)

- Important part of District Plan process was dealing with operations of airfield and the residential area surrounding it.
- Fully supported the initiative and the planning on infrastructure in line with the population increase in Te Kowhai.
- Expressed concern in relation to timeframes - 10-30 years to implement the Strategy was too long. Would like to see timeframe brought forward to 3-10 years to align with aspirations.
- Te Kowhai missing in pages 24-25 of draft Strategy. Concerns expressed around infrastructure - would like the airpark to be self-sufficient in terms of services.
- Proposed that Council would design that structure and then connect into services once they were established.
- Needed to let market lead the planning and put the processes in place to meet those market needs.

The Hearing adjourned at 3.25pm at the conclusion of the above submission. The Chairperson advised that the Hearing would reconvene at 9.30am on Wednesday, 12 February 2020.

The meeting of the Strategy & Finance Committee of the Waikato District Council held in the Council Chambers, District Office, 15 Galileo Street, Ngaruawahia reconvened on **WEDNESDAY, 12 FEBRUARY 2020** at **9.30am** to hear, consider and make decisions on the submissions received on the Growth & Economic Development Strategy..

Present:

Cr A Bech (Chairperson)
His Worship the Mayor Mr AM Sanson
Cr JA Church
Cr CA Eyre [*until 12.19pm*]
Cr S Henderson
Cr SD Lynch
Cr RC McGuire
Cr FM McNally
Cr EM Patterson [*until 12.05pm*]
Cr LR Thomson
Cr CT Woolerton

Attending:

Mr C Morgan (General Manager Community Growth)
Mr J Ebenhoh (Planning and Policy Manager)
Ms D Tracey (Strategic Planning Team Leader)
Mr M Davey (Community Growth Analytics Manager)
Ms T Singh-Sandhu (Strategic Planner)
Ms B Connolly (Policy Planner Community Development)
Ms G Brady (Democracy Support Contractor)

Submitters (as per Schedule of Submitters attached as [Appendix I](#))

APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

An apology was received from Crs Gibb, Sedgwick and Smith at the meeting on Tuesday, 11 February 2020 [*ref S&F2002/09*].

Resolved: (Cr Lynch/Cr Church)

THAT an apology for early departure be received from Cr McNally.

CARRIED:

S&F2002/11

The following submissions were presented to the Committee, and submitters responded to questions from the Committee:

John Duffy, on behalf of Lakeside Developments (Submission Number 55)

- Lakeside strongly supported the Strategy - logical and appropriate. Existing centres were logical areas to expand growth. Supported that Expressway and rail were sensible growth points.
- Appreciated that Lakeside had been identified as a key area. Comprehensive master development plan required in conjunction with Council. Appropriate level of development, in conjunction with community consultation required.
- Requested that the density figure in diagram 4.6, page 31, be changed to 250-450 to pick up the range and reflect the status quo. Lifestyle choices and affordability reflected for Te Kauwhata. Range was an important contributor to lifestyle choice for buyers. Submitter suggested that it would be better to put clarity in the Strategy now.
- Height issue-on the diagram of the development area showed some with two stories but the rest were unstated. Requested that staff labelled everything as two stories or add a default of one to two stories on the map to avoid confusion.

Mark Tollemache on behalf of Havelock Village Limited (Submission Number 49)

- Strategy was flawed as it was not evidence-based and was heavily reliant on projected outcomes that are inaccurate.
- Insufficient housing supply that did not align with National Policy Statement on Urban Development Capacity.
- Growth strategy was constraining opportunity. The Strategy needed to look forward and anticipate supply.
- Suitability of areas' analysis was also flawed. Strategy was now based on hypotheticals and highly unlikely that redevelopment occurred.
- One size fits all strategy did not fit obvious growth locations.
- Pokeno East was least preferred area of growth. Havelock has a large, continuous ownership and offered opportunities to development of community and integrated infrastructure that were more appealing than Pokeno East.
- Critical to note that there was single land ownership adjacent to Havelock, owned by a single developer interested in being part of this development and growth.

Ambury Properties Limited (Submission Number 44)

- Supported Sleepyhead estate and believed the Strategy to be an important strategic document.
- Strategy failed to adequately represent the District's transport links. Requested that wording in the Strategy was changed to be more proactive rather than reactive.
- Growth rates did not take full account of extra growth borne from the impact of the residential component of Sleepyhead. Believed Sleepyhead provided a critical part of commercial and residential development in and around Huntly.
- Economic effects and benefits of the proposed Sleepyhead factory on regional and local economies also needed to be taken into account. Strategy should be amended to recognise and incorporate proposed Sleepyhead estate. If not, would lead to dormitory type suburbs and poor economic outcomes for the area.
- Disagreed with Future Proof submission. Believed Huntly would only grow with job growth. Employment was a critical element to secure population growth in the area.

- Huntly would be the main beneficiary of the proposed estate and this was designed to support, rather than undermine, Huntly. 1,100 homes would only partly meet the shortfall. Cutting out this estate would directly affect the development as a whole.

Loren Brown, on behalf of Hamilton City Council (Submission Number 43)

- Congratulated the Council on the proposed Strategy. However, the Strategy needed to paint a bigger picture. Believed the areas adjacent to Hamilton zone were central to development plan of Waikato District.
- Suggested that there were evidence-based issues and there was a need to look at how the figures for population and growth projections had been underdeveloped.
- Boundaryless approach to planning in the areas adjacent to Hamilton zone was at odds to central government approach. Wanted to see how strategy can work with a boundaryless approach, particularly around waters and natural areas.
- There was insufficient information on how infrastructure would be supported.
- Supported Section 2.
- Section 3 was difficult to read and took away from the key points. Should include detail of costs articulated.
- Section 4 – there were inconsistencies in maps and infrastructure for industrial locations. Would be good to see reference to decisions in infrastructure for development stated in the report.
- Part 6.13 referred to potentially out of date information.

Phil Stickney on behalf of Kainga Ora (Submission Number 85)

- Supported the intent of the Strategy.
- At a local level, believed the Strategy would contribute to the benefit of communities and healthy future growth and transport. Not clear how community engagement and development would be achieved.
- Growth needed to be based on evidence. No background documentation or analysis to provide context on how the Blueprints would be integrated into the national impact patterns.
- There was a disconnect with the Strategy's development and infrastructure components; their lifetime effects were not apparent in the Strategy. Different community and infrastructure details were missing.
- Not clear how development plans had considered factors of the difference in social infrastructure mix of wealthy and lower income populations (e.g. Huntly and Pokeno were very different). Questioned the same template being used to analyse both. The Strategy should focus more on housing affordability.

Lucy Smith on behalf of Terra Firma (Submission Number 92)

- One size fits all approach was too broad and might not allow for innovative patterns of development. Sought that, in map 047, the stated range be changed to several options. Weaver's crossing to be rezoned and be subdivided.
- Mindful of the adjacent lake and the natural environment and wanted them to be taken into account as part of development.
- Sought diversity in the types of housing and occupants to create a diverse community. Flexibility in lot sizes that can also be subdivided required.

- Sought common spaces where there was a shared green space, orchards and gardens and so the land area required for the houses was reduced.
- Stormwater treatment requirement should be part of Growth Strategy.

The Hearing adjourned at 10.55am at the conclusion of hearing submissions, and resumed at 11.22am.

Discussion

After hearing the submissions, the Committee discussed the draft strategy and requested staff to provide a summary for each submission for Committee members to review in a workshop. The Chairperson sought comments from the Committee members and the following matters were discussed:

Specifics Vs Generalization

- Future Proof's submission purpose was to guide, not instruct.
- Agreed it was the District Plan Review's responsibility to identify specifics. Maps contained in the draft Strategy were an indication only.
- Discussion on the level of detail required in mapping. Noted that several submitters voiced concern over lack of clear statistics and data contained within the draft Strategy.
- Some members sought clarification on why certain Blueprint information was omitted.
- The Committee agreed for the need to be more general by removing icons on mapping that may be seen to make statements on points such as housing typology (e.g. single-family housing).

Rural villages

- There was discussion on Te Uku being labelled as a village when it lacks centralised housing; believed this would be contrary to the District Plan Review.
- Submitters wanting to live rurally, such as Te Uku, should be supported along with other villages and rural settlements.
- Statement required in Strategy on how Council would consider how rural communities could be supported in the future.
- Noted that some submitters might not want their respective communities to become a 'town', but remain a 'village', with a thriving rural community, and that this was not addressed in the Strategy.
- Appeared that rural areas were missing from the map contained in the Strategy.
- Noted that a wider discussion was required on whether Council was urban or rural focussed. The Strategy appeared to be focussed on urban growth.
- Noted that even a visual indication of urban development over rural development in mapping visually demonstrates a perceived intent that Council is solely focussed on urban development.
- Potential also existed to revitalise small rural communities.

Terra Firma

- Strong concern that if Terra Firma development commenced, high quality waterways could be directly negatively affected.
- Noted that Huntly Community Board were against Terra Firma development.

Housing issues

- Strong concern that in Huntly West, the addition of housing could likely increase social issues. High level concerns around social issues without social support.

- Concern that not enough housing was available to match the current Council projections.
- Supply of housing issue existed in Pokeno. Agreed there was a need to maintain adequate supply of houses each year.
- Wellbeing was integral to economic development and growth. Agreed that certainty of work capably done was necessary.
- Noted that social and natural amenities were frequently addressed in submissions.
- Affordable housing needed to be addressed more overtly in the Strategy.
- Agreed there was a necessity to ensure roads, buses and trains were available to service development areas now and into the future.
- Noted that affordability in Raglan ‘bucks the trend’ and that a ‘one size fits all’ approach in terms of affordability would not be suitable going forward. Agreed definitions of housing affordability needed to be given greater clarity.

Lot sizing

- Suggested removing 450sq in the 10-30 years and changing to ‘residential’ to allow for a more general level rather than being specific.
- Committee members requested clear indications in the upcoming workshop on sizing parameters.
- Noted that living in the future would look quite different. Example of Muriwai in terms of more community living housing was referenced as an alternative form of development.

‘Being bold’

- Agreed for the need to be bold in looking after ratepayers.
- Noted that bold governance was required but governing on evidence was essential for decision making.
- Some Committee members believed the District Plan and Growth Strategy could differ in view after ten years.
- Agreed that currently, decisions on development were market-led. There was a need to get ahead of the trend and follow strong indicators.

Sustainability/Environment

- Noted the importance of wellbeing in the Strategy. Important to remember climate change and the environment as well as the wellbeing of people.
- Agreed that there was a need to blend landscape within keeping with the community and to be cognitive of making this Strategy holistic.
- In relation to climate change, some submitters were surprised that sustainability was not addressed in housing. Agreed the Council must ensure that Council’s vision for growth did not restrict sustainability and the Climate Action Plan.
- Discussion was held on building on slopes and the effect this has on the natural environment.

The Planning and Policy Manager noted the following during the discussion:

- Staff would prepare commentary on the submissions for the upcoming workshop. This would include additional information requested by Committee members.
- A future workshop would be provided to look at the content of a revised Strategy to reflect the Committee’s decisions.

Cr Patterson left the meeting at 12.05pm during the above discussion.

Cr Eyre left the meeting at 12.19pm during the above discussion.

Resolved: (Crs Church/Woolerton)

THAT the Strategy and Finance Committee read and consider the submissions received on the Draft Waikato District Council Growth & Economic Development Strategy and hear from the submitters that wish to be heard;

AND THAT the Strategy and Finance Committee advises staff of any changes to the Draft Waikato District Council Growth & Economic Development Strategy following its consideration of all the submissions received;

AND FURTHER THAT the Committee notes that staff will present a revised draft strategy to a workshop(s) for elected members' feedback, prior to presenting a final draft strategy to Council for approval.

CARRIED

S&F2002/12

There being no further business for the day, the meeting was adjourned at 12.54pm.

The meeting of the Strategy & Finance Committee of the Waikato District Council held in the Council Chambers, District Office, 15 Galileo Street, Ngaruawahia reconvened on **TUESDAY, 10 MARCH 2020** at **9.31am** to hear, consider and make decisions on the submissions received on the Growth & Economic Development Strategy.

Present:

Cr A Bech (Chairperson)
His Worship the Mayor Mr AM Sanson [*until 10.59am and then from 11.22am*]
Cr JA Church
Cr CA Eyre
Cr S Henderson [*until 2.42pm*]
Cr SD Lynch
Cr RC McGuire
Cr FM McInally [*until 12.28pm*]
Cr EM Patterson [*from 9.36am until 2.41pm*]
Cr N Smith [*from 9.43am until 10.44am*]
Cr LR Thomson
Cr CT Woolerton

Attending:

Mr C Morgan (General Manager Community Growth)
Mr J Ebenhoh (Planning and Policy Manager)
Ms D Tracey (Strategic Planning Team Leader)
Mr M Davey (Community Growth Analytics Manager)
Ms T Singh-Sandhu (Strategic Planner)
Ms B Connolly (Policy Planner Community Development)
Mr B Stringer (Democracy Manager)
Mrs L Wainwright (Committee Secretary)
Ms G Brady (Democracy Support Contractor)

Ms Ellen O'Dwyer (Waikato Times)

APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

An apology was received from Crs Gibb, Sedgwick and Smith at the meeting on Tuesday, 11 February 2020 [*ref S&F2002/09*].

Resolved (Cr Bech/Cr Church)

THAT an apology for lateness be received from Cr Patterson.

CARRIED:

S&F2002/13

Resolved: (Cr Bech/Cr Woolerton)

THAT the document *Waikato 2070 Summary of Submissions & Deliberation Notes (Draft)* be tabled in order that it be discussed and considered by the Committee.

CARRIED

S&F2002/14

With reference to the tabled document, the Chairperson explained the process for the conclusion of the hearings and for the Committee to make decisions on the submissions received.

Post-Meeting Note: For clarity, reference to “points” in the Committee’s decisions below refer to the [Synopsis of Deliberations section](#) in the tabled document - *Waikato 2070 Summary of Submissions & Deliberation Notes (Draft)*, unless indicated otherwise.

Submissions

- A number of submissions were grouped together by the Chair in order to address overlapping issues and/or identical points raised across submissions from different submitters.
- The following submissions would be grouped together within themes/locations:
 - a) Raglan related
 - b) Horse riding related, specifically in relation to the Council’s bylaw
 - c) Huntly Community Board
 - d) Pokeno

Raglan Related Submissions: *(Trails, Climate Change and Public Transport/Cycleways)*

| Submitter # | Submitter Name |
|-------------|------------------------------------|
| 9 | Judy Arnstad |
| 10 | Ron Arnstad |
| 16 | Malibu Hamilton |
| 17 | Cynthia Tucker |
| 50 | Whaingaroa Environmental Defence |
| 57 | CM Huxtable |
| 58 | Ian J Anderson and Gail M Anderson |
| 59 | Angela Kimber |
| 60 | Mike Bell |
| 63 | Lesley Thornley |
| 64 | Rosser Thornley |
| 76 | McLuskie |
| 86 | Karla Stevenson |
| 88 | Chris Aitchinson |
| 89 | Sarah Aitchinson |
| 90 | Ayla Aitchinson |
| 91 | Archer Aitchinson |

- Submissions from Raglan (see table above) to be dealt with as one block.
- Noted that there was a consistent theme around climate change that urged for strengthening of wording, particularly in relation to access points and Bridle Trails.
- Did not want wording to affect the 'access to beaches' issue in future decision-making.
- Should reflect community aspirations for community connections, trails and paths.
- Emphasis on future transport in the Strategy and its role in shaping future growth patterns.

Resolved: (His Worship the Mayor/Cr Henderson)

THAT the Committee accept points 1,2 and 3 with further clarity required on point 1 required from staff.

CARRIED

S&F2002/15

Horse Riding Related submissions:

| Submitter # | Submitter Name |
|-------------|--|
| 15 | Meredith MacKenzie |
| 25 | Fiona Scott |
| 28 | Hamilton Older Riders Social Equitable Club (Horse Club) |
| 56 | Alison Cunningham |
| 67 | Collinsons |

Resolved: (His Worship the Mayor/Cr Eyre)

THAT the Committee decided the submissions noted above were out of scope;

AND THAT no change to the Strategy was required to address those submissions.

CARRIED

S&F2002/16

Huntly Community Board Submissions:

| Submitter # | Submitter Name |
|-------------|--------------------------|
| 41 | Huntly Community Board |
| 69 | Unknown |
| 70 | Huntly War Memorial Hall |
| 74 | Kim |

- To realign walking trails in Huntly West (Town Centre Plan)
- Noted that with the exception of the Brickworks and quarry, the Strategy was unclear as to when developments would be finished. Clarification required on the future potential use of the Brickworks' site.
- Suggested the term 'mixed use' left too many options open. The Committee requested that staff change this.

Resolved: (Cr Lynch/Cr Woolerton)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#);

AND THAT the Committee request staff adjust the term 'mixed use' in the Strategy.

CARRIED

S&F2002/17

Cr Smith joined the meeting at 9.43am at the conclusion of the above matter.

Submission 1:

The Committee discussed the need to add that timing should be brought forward to 3-10 years and that growth cells and timings are subject to servicing requirements.

Resolved: (Cr Church/His Worship the Mayor)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#), subject to staff including in the Strategy that 'Growth cells and timings are subject to servicing requirements'.

CARRIED

S&F2002/18

Submission 2:

Resolved: (Cr Lynch/Cr McNally)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/19

Submission 3:

- Emphasised public transport in the Strategy and how this was shaping the future growth pattern of the District.
- Questioned the use of the phrase ‘future development’ in relation to public transport.
- Accepted that wording should support demand-driven public transport planning.
- Reference to the Trails Strategy – Walkways, Cycleways & Bridle Trails should be included.
- Agreed that alignment would be required with wording, plans and strategy, should it arise in the future.

Resolved: (Cr Church/Cr Patterson)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/20

Submission 4:

Resolved: (His Worship the Mayor/Cr Woolerton)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/21

Submission 5:

- The Committee agreed that there was a need to be mindful of Council’s commitment to Climate Action when referencing extractive industries and the wording used in support of those industries.
- Additionally, it was noted that the Climate Action commitment could change the relationship with these industries in 2070 and beyond.

Resolved: (His Worship the Mayor/ Cr Church)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#) with the inclusion of wording on extractive industries.

CARRIED

S&F2002/22

Submission 6:

- The Committee agreed that the location and resources of the Huntly Powerstation are essential both now and in the future and therefore should be identified clearly on the map.

Resolved: (Cr Lynch/Cr McGuire)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/23

Submission 7:

- Discussed the potential for staff to talk to all those implementing the Strategy to determine how the *Waikato 2070 Summary of Submissions & Deliberation Notes* document could affect the Waikato 2070 strategy and vice versa.

Resolved: (His Worship the Mayor/Cr Henderson)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/24

Submission 8:

- Referenced importance on rural communities and how to strengthen their development, growth and sustainably.
- Stated that the submitter was looking for a higher level of detail on mapping but Committee stated that this detail was not required for the purpose of the Strategy.
- Suggested that staff made it clearer to the public that more detailed documents and maps existed, and that the mapping details in the Strategy act as guides only.

Resolved: (Cr Woolerton/Cr McGuire)

THAT the Committee [accept point 1 but not point 2](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/25

Submission 11:

The Committee agreed that two different lots of timing could be confusing.
Suggested that the timing ratios be changed to 3-10 years

Resolved: (Cr Henderson/Cr Patterson)

THAT the Committee [accept all points](#);

AND THAT the Committee agrees that the timing ratios be changed to 3-10 years, subject to servicing requirements.

CARRIED

S&F2002/26

Cr Smith retired from the meeting at 10.44am at the conclusion of the above decision.

Submission 12:

Resolved: (Cr Woolerton/Cr Patterson)

THAT the Committee [accept all points](#);

AND THAT the Committee request that staff signal the industrial cluster in this submission to mirror that in Huntly.

CARRIED

S&F2002/27

Submission 13:

Resolved: (His Worship the Mayor/Cr Lynch)

THAT the Committee [accept all points](#);

AND THAT the Committee request that staff strengthen reference and wording to include 'sustainability' support of rural villages and move away from the term 'grow'.

CARRIED

S&F2002/28

Submission 14:

Resolved: (Cr Patterson/Cr Lynch)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/29

Submission 18:

Resolved: (Cr Woolerton/Cr McGuire)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/30

Submission 19:

Resolved: (His Worship the Mayor/Cr Woolerton)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/31

Submission 20:

Resolved: (His Worship the Mayor/Cr Patterson)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/32

Submission 21:

Resolved: (His Worship the Mayor/Cr Patterson)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/33

Submission 22:

Resolved: (His Worship the Mayor/Cr Woolerton)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/34

Submission 23:

- The Committee noted the importance of looking at risks as well as opportunities, and to also emphasise the importance of adding servicing requirements.

Resolved: (Cr Patterson/Cr Lynch)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#);

AND THAT the Committee request that staff amend the Strategy to allow for extension of residential activity within Taupiri and to include an additional point (2) to ensure servicing requirements must be achieved prior to development.

CARRIED

S&F2002/35

Submission 24:

Resolved: (His Worship the Mayor/Cr Patterson)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/36

The meeting was adjourned at 10.59am and reconvened at 11.19am.

Submission 26:

Resolved: (Cr Lynch/Cr Patterson)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/37

Pokeno related submissions:

| Submitter # | |
|-------------|--|
| 27 | Pokeno Village Holdings Limited |
| 48 | Thorntree Orchards Ltd (Sir William Birch) |
| 49 | Havelock Village Limited |
| 51 | Thorntree Orchards Ltd |

- The Committee Chair proposed to group together submissions that related to Pokeno in a broader sense and associated industrial and residential zoning.
- Grouping was agreed upon by Committee members in order to address overlapping issues and/or identical points raised across submissions from different parties.
- This decision reflects the earlier decision on Raglan, Horse riding related submissions, and Huntly submissions and it was suggested that there be a common response to all four submitters.
- The Committee Acknowledged that:
 - a) Land area East/West of Pokeno require attention in the Strategy.
 - b) the suggestion that East/West are dealt with as one should be adopted.
- Agreed that a lead developer or other funder would be required.
- Commented that additional infrastructure costs would need to be borne by central government, as all growth in the east was predicated on established infrastructure. Crossing the motorway for both industrial and residential, would incur costs and an overpass or underpass would require substantial investment, reflecting increased population growth.

Resolved: (Cr Church /Cr Woolerton)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#);

AND THAT in reference to 48.3 the Committee request that staff look more closely at East and South West residential activity in Pokeno, due to Committee support;

AND FURTHER THAT staff make the addition of wording related to the fact that growth is subject to infrastructure servicing.

CARRIED

S&F2002/38

His Worship the Major rejoined the meeting at 11.22am during discussion on the above matter.

Submission 29:

Resolved: (Cr Patterson/Cr Thomson)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/39

Submission 30:

Resolved: (Cr Patterson/Cr Woolerton)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/40

Submission 31:

Resolved: (Cr Patterson/His Worship the Mayor)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/41

Submission 32:

- Concerned about Special Activity Zone overlay with residential zone.

Resolved: (Cr Thomson/Cr McNally)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#) with the following changes:

- Supported decision to maintain wording as Kimihia Lakes Special Activity Precinct but identify the growth area for “recreational” purposes.
- Supported change in timing to 3-10 years (from 10-30 years), while waiting on the Geotech report findings and other documents as referenced.

CARRIED

S&F2002/42

Submission 33:

Resolved: (His Worship the Mayor/Cr Patterson)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/43

Submission 34:

Resolved: (Cr Woolerton/Cr Lynch)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#)

CARRIED

S&F2002/44

Submission 35:

Resolved: (Cr Woolerton/Cr McInally)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/45

Submission 36:

- Supported inclusion and reference to the Trails Strategy
- Sought emphasis on public transport and cycling in the strategy and how this was shaping the future growth pattern of the District
- Strengthened the wording in the Strategy to the Waikato District Council Blueprints.

Resolved: (Cr Woolerton /Cr Church)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#) with the exception of point 3.

CARRIED

S&F2002/46

Submission 37:

Resolved: (Cr McInally/Cr Woolerton)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/47

Submission 38:

Resolved: (Cr Patterson/ Cr McGuire)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/48

Submission 39:

- The Committee requested that timing for this Dominion Road growth cell be changed to 3-10 years.
- Staff indicated that it was possible to add a note that these are indicative areas of land and not to be taken as boundaries.

Resolved: (Cr Church/Cr McGuire)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#);

AND THAT the Committee requests staff make the addition of an explanatory note that these are indicative areas of land and not to be taken as boundaries.

Submission 40:

Resolved: (Cr Woolerton/Cr Henderson)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/49

Submission 42:

Resolved: (His Worship the Mayor/Cr Lynch)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#), determined on the clarification of the definition of the term 'flood defended area'.

CARRIED

S&F2002/50

Submission 43:

Resolved: (Cr Patterson/Cr Church)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#), with the removal of point 2;

CARRIED

S&F2002/51

Submission 45:

Resolved: (Cr Church/Cr Thomson)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/52

The meeting adjourned at 12.28pm and reconvened at 1.20pm.

Cr McNally retired from the meeting at 12.28pm, when the meeting adjourned.

Submission 44:

Resolved: (Cr Lynch/His Worship the Mayor)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#) and to include the following amendment:

- **To amend the Strategy document in relation to development at Ohinewai to identify residential and employment areas in a 3-10 year timeframe.**

CARRIED

S&F2002/53

Submission 46:

Resolved: (Cr Lynch/Cr Woolerton)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#) and to include the following amendment:

- **To amend the Strategy document in relation to development at Ohinewai to identify residential and employment areas in a 3-10 year timeframe.**

CARRIED

S&F2002/54

Submission 47:

- Committee suggested that a 10-30 year timeframe be accepted, subject to servicing.
- Committee suggested that colouring in the map was out of proportion.
- Discussion on whether there would be potentially more than 700 houses to be built in Raglan and if so, what timeframe the Committee would support. Suggested market would determine timing. Existence of essential services would determine timing and growth potential.

Resolved: (His Worship the Mayor/Cr Woolerton)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#);

AND THAT the Strategy be amended to allow for the change of timing to 10-30 years.

CARRIED

S&F2002/55

Submission 52:

Resolved: (Cr Woolerton/Cr McGuire)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/56

Submission 53:

Resolved: (Cr Patterson/Cr Woolerton)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/57

Submission 54:

Resolved: (Cr Thomson/Cr Woolerton)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#), with the inclusion of the following amendment:

- **That the building height limit of two stories, only in Raglan, be included.**

CARRIED

S&F2002/58

Submission 55:

- The Committee agreed that lot sizes would not be indicated in the Strategy.
- Some members stated that perception existed over correlation between lot sizes and social class including social issues.
- The Committee decided to be less prescriptive about lot sizes and to reflect this overall in the Strategy.

Resolved: (His Worship the Mayor/Cr Lynch)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/59

Submission 61:

Resolved: (Cr Woolerton/His Worship the Mayor)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/60

Submission 62:

Resolved: (Cr Patterson/His Worship the Mayor)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#);

AND THAT, in addition, the Committee request that staff change the industrial growth cells to 1-3 years and seek the inclusion and connection of the Trails Strategy.

CARRIED

S&F2002/61

Submission 65:

Resolved: (Cr Thomson /Cr Woolerton)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/62

Submission 66:

Resolved: (Cr Lynch/Cr Church)

THAT the Committee accept all points, with the addition of the following points:

- **The Committee supported having south-facing ramps (as part of an expressway interchange) as a key infrastructure of the development of Huntly.**
- **Timing be changed to a 3-10 year timeframe and to specifically identify the growth cell for recreational purposes.**

CARRIED

S&F2002/63

Submission 68:

- **The Committee noted that legends for all maps should be the same in relation to colouring and explanations and requested that staff amend any errors in relation to this.**

Resolved: (Cr Lynch/Cr Woolerton)

THAT the Committee accept all points, with the addition of the following point:

- **To retain the current timeframe for the Lake Hakanoa Growth Cell and the Rayner Road cell**

CARRIED

S&F2002/64

Submission 71:

Resolved: (Cr Church/Cr Henderson)

THAT the Committee accept all points.

CARRIED

S&F2002/65

Submission 72:

Resolved: (Cr Church/His Worship the Mayor)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/66

Submission 73:

Resolved: (Cr Thomson/His Worship the Mayor)

THAT the Committee agree that this submission is out of scope.

CARRIED

S&F2002/67

Submission 75:

- Noted that future train stations had been identified on pages 24/25 of the draft Strategy, relating to passenger, not freight.
- Staff noted that critical mass would be required to justify a working train station being located at Mercer.

Resolved: (Cr Eyre/Cr Henderson)

THAT the Committee [accept point 1 only](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/68

Submission 77:

Resolved: (His Worship the Mayor /Cr Church)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/69

Submission 78:

Resolved: (Cr Patterson /Cr Woolerton)

THAT the Committee agree that this submission is out of scope.

CARRIED

S&F2002/70

Submission 79:

Resolved: (His Worship the Mayor/Cr Lynch)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#);

AND THAT this submission be added to the aforementioned Pokeno submissions that have been grouped together previously [[ref S&F2002/38](#)];

AND FURTHER THAT to enable a good mixed use development, timing would be required to be retained to 3-10 years, subject to servicing requirements.

CARRIED

S&F2002/71

Submission 80:

Resolved: (Cr Henderson/Cr Eyre)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/72

Submission 81:

Resolved: (His Worship the Mayor/Cr Patterson)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/73

Cr Patterson retired from the meeting at 2.41pm at the conclusion of the above matter.

Submission 82:

Resolved: (Cr Church/Cr Henderson)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/74

Submission 83:

Resolved: (His Worship the Mayor/Cr McGuire)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/75

Cr Henderson retired from the meeting at the conclusion of the above matter.

Submission 84:

Resolved: (Cr Lynch/His Worship the Mayor)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/76

Submission 85:

Resolved: (His Worship the Mayor/Cr Church)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#).

CARRIED

S&F2002/77

Submission 87:

- Noted that there was a concern about zoning and identifying the Lake Puketirini growth area as a future residential area.

Resolved: (Cr Eyre/Cr Lynch)

THAT the Committee accept [all points](#) with the removal of the Lake Puketirini Growth area.

CARRIED

S&F2002/78

Appendix I – Schedule of Submissions for Hearings

Please follow the link [here](#)

Or visit the following website:

https://wdcsitefinity.blob.core.windows.net/sitefinity-storage/docs/default-source/meetings/minutes-2020/200211-s-f-appendix-I---schedule-of-submissions-for-hearings-200211-s-f.pdf?sfvrsn=d79589c9_1

Appendix 2 – Waikato 2070 Summary of Submissions & Deliberation Notes (Approved Decisions)

Please follow the link [here](#)

Or visit the following website:

[https://wdsitefinity.blob.core.windows.net/sitefinity-storage/docs/default-source/meetings/minutes-2020/200211-s-f-appendix-2---waikato-2070-summary-of-submissions-and-deliberation-notes-\(approved-decisions\).pdf?sfvrsn=5e9589c9_1](https://wdsitefinity.blob.core.windows.net/sitefinity-storage/docs/default-source/meetings/minutes-2020/200211-s-f-appendix-2---waikato-2070-summary-of-submissions-and-deliberation-notes-(approved-decisions).pdf?sfvrsn=5e9589c9_1)